1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8 ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	
9	9 Plaintiffs,	No. C 07-1658 PJH
10	0 v.	ORDER
11	1 SAP AG, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13	3	
14	The court has reviewed some of the pretrial filings, and it is apparent that some will	
15	need to be revised, given the denial of Oracle's motions for clarification/reconsideration.	
16	Since no evidence relating to the hypothetical license measure of damages will be	
17	permitted at the upcoming trial, the exhibit lists and witness lists (at a minimum) must be	
18	revised. The revised papers should be filed prior to the pretrial conference, if possible, but	
19	in any event no later than two weeks from the date of this order.	
20	0	
21	1 IT IS SO ORDERED.	Ala a
22	2 Dated: May 18, 2012	o gir
23	3	PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge
24	4	Office Otales District dauge
25	5	
26	6	
27	7	
28	8	