1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359) Jason McDonell (SBN 115084)	
2	Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882) JONES DAY	
3	555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	
4	Telephone: (415) 626-3939	
5	Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com	
6	jmcdonell@jonesday.com ewallace@jonesday.com	
7	Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)	
8	Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776) JONES DAY	
9	1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303	
10	Telephone: (650) 739-3939 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900	
11	tglanier@jonesday.com jfroyd@jonesday.com	
12	Scott W. Cowan (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
13	JONES DAY 717 Texas, Suite 3300	
14	Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (832) 239-3939	
15	Facsimile: (832) 239-3939 swcowan@jonesday.com	
16	jlfuchs@jonesday.com	
17	Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and	
18	TOMORROWNOW, INC.	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)
22	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
23	v.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF
24	SAP AG, et al.,	FINANCIAL INFORMATION FROM
25	Defendants.	PLAINTIFFS Data: Assess 18, 2000
26		Date: August 18, 2009 Time: 10:45 AM
27		Courtroom: E, 15th Floor Judge: Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte
28		
		[PROPOSED] ORDER RE DEFS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF FINANCIAL INFO CASE NO. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL.)

CASE NO. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

The Court having before it Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Financial Information from Plaintiffs, and having considered the papers and argument of the parties, hereby GRANTS the motion in part and DENIES it without prejudice in part as follows:

It IS ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall produce the following documents no later than September 15, 2009:

- (1) For Plaintiffs Oracle International Corporation and Oracle EMEA Limited, quarterly income statements, balance sheets and trial balance reports for the period June 1, 2005 through October 31, 2008;
- (2) For Plaintiff Oracle USA, Inc., quarterly income statements, balance sheets and trial balance reports for the period January 1, 2005 through October 31, 2008;
- (3) For Oracle Corporation UK, Ltd., Oracle Corporation Australia Pty. Ltd. and Oracle Corporation Japan, one year's worth of quarterly income statements, balance sheets and trial balance reports for the year ended May 31, 2007; and,
- (4) Product profitability analyses that can be located after a reasonably diligent search showing profitability of PeopleSoft, J. D. Edwards and Siebel product lines and the underlying source documents upon which they are based.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall make a good faith effort to produce by September 15, 2009 quarterly income statements, balance sheets, and trial balance reports for PeopleSoft USA, Inc., the pre-cursor to Oracle USA, Inc., for the period January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2004. Because Plaintiffs have represented that PeopleSoft USA, Inc. financial information resides on one or more legacy financial systems, and that potential technical issues may complicate or limit Plaintiffs' ability to access, obtain, and produce that information, Oracle shall make reasonably diligent efforts to access and provide such legacy information in substantially the same form as provided for Oracle USA, Inc. If Plaintiffs are unable to produce such reports by September 15, 2009, Plaintiffs are to report back to Defendants on the nature of and form of the information that it can reasonably produce and when it can reasonably do so. If Plaintiffs are unable to produce the PeopleSoft USA, Inc. information in accordance with this Order, the parties reserve all rights with respect to this issue.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall make a witness or witnesses available pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 30(b)(6) to explain the contents of the reports identified in paragraphs numbered (1)-(4), and the contents of PeopleSoft USA, Inc. reports described in the immediately preceding paragraph. Defendants shall serve an appropriate Rule 30(b)(6) notice on Plaintiffs to which Plaintiffs may make appropriate objections in good faith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Oracle International Corporation shall make a witness available for three and one-half hours of further deposition testimony in response to Defendants' Amended Second Notice of Deposition of Plaintiff Oracle International Corporation Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) on the topics of the relief sought in Defendants' motion and consistent with the guidance provided by the Court at the hearing on Defendants' motion, including any guidance provided as to Plaintiffs' April 8, 2009 objections. Only fifteen minutes of that time may be devoted to additional questions specifically directed to the foundation for the assertion of privilege over communications with outside counsel related to the setting of royalty rates.

As to all issues for which relief is not specifically granted herein, the Court DENIES the relief requested in Defendants' motion without prejudice.

Dated: September 8, 2009

