1

2

3

45

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

16

15

17 18

19

2021

23

22

2425

26

2728

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SERGIO ALVAREZ,

No. C 07-01678 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT A. HOREL, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT

(Docket no. 7)

Before the Court is Plaintiff's request for leave to file a supplemental complaint "adding several parties and supplemental events having 'some relationship' to the claims in the original complaint." (Mot. for Leave to Am. at 1.)

A plaintiff may amend his complaint once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is served. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). Where a plaintiff seeks to amend after a responsive pleading has already been served, however, the decision whether to grant leave to amend is committed to the sound discretion of the trial court. Waits v. Weller, 653 F.2d 1288, 1290 (9th Cir. 1981). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) is to be applied liberally in favor of amendments and, in general, leave shall be freely given when justice so requires. Janicki Logging Co. v. Mateer, 42 F.3d 561, 566 (9th Cir. 1994).

The Court notes that the defendants in this action have not been served at this time. Plaintiff may as a matter of course amend his complaint because a responsive pleading has not yet been served. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a supplemental complaint is GRANTED.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to file the document attached to Plaintiff's motion, which is labeled "Proposed Supplemental Complaint for Violations Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983," and docket the aforementioned document as Plaintiff's "Supplemental Complaint." The Clerk is further directed to

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

mark the Supplemental Complaint as filed on May 19, 2008, the date it was received by the Continuous The Court will review Plaintiff's original and supplemental complaints in a separate written Order terminates Docket no. 7. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 2/20/09 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge
This Order terminates Docket no. 7. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 2/20/09 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 2/20/09 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
DATED: 2/20/09 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
SAUNDRA DROWN ARMSTRONU

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SERGIO ALVAREZ, Case Number: CV07-01678 SBA
4	Plaintiff,
5	V. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
6	ROBERT A. HOREL et al,
7	Defendant.
8	
9	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. That on February 23, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Sergio Alvarez K42605 Pelican Bay State Prison
16	P.O. Box 7500 Crescent City, CA 95532-7500
17	Dated: February 23, 2009
18	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	