

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28United States District Court  
For the Northern District of CaliforniaUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIALAWYERS COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL  
RIGHTS OF THE SAN FRANCISCO  
BAY AREA,

Plaintiff,

No. C 07-2590 PJH

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
THE TREASURY,**ORDER RE JULY 13, 2009  
FILING**Defendant.  
/

The court is in receipt of plaintiff's July 13, 2009 eleven-page single-spaced letter brief with nine attached exhibits in which plaintiff raises an issue regarding its entitlement to a waiver of FOIA fees. While the court appreciates plaintiff's attempt to resolve this lengthy and seemingly endless dispute both informally and expeditiously, the letter brief raises legal issues, as opposed to case management issues, which the court has not yet addressed. Thus, neither an informal letter brief nor an administrative motion pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 are appropriate. Accordingly, to the extent that plaintiff is seeking some sort of relief or action on the part of the court, the matters contained in the letter brief should be raised in the form of a noticed motion, filed in compliance with Civil L.R. 7-1.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 15, 2009



---

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
United States District Judge