

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6 OAKLAND DIVISION
7

8 IVANA KIROLA, et al.,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

11 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
12 FRANCISCO, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

Case No: C 07-03685 SBA

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO COMPEL AND
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
STRIKE**


15 The parties are presently before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Immediate
16 Production or Exclude Defendants' Supplemental Expert Reports ("Motion for Compel," Dkt.
17 544) and Defendants' Motion to Strike Unauthorized Additions to Plaintiffs' Exhibit List
18 ("Motion to Strike," Dkt. 549), which were heard during trial in this matter on April 6, 2011.
19 For the reasons stated on the record,

20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 21 1. Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel is DENIED.
22 2. Defendants' Motion to Strike is DENIED without prejudice.
23 3. This Order terminates Dockets 544, 548, and 549.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: April 8, 2011

26 
SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge
27
28