1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8	OAKLAND DIVISION		
9	IVANA KIROLA, et al.,	Case No: C 07-03685 SBA	
10			
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER	
12	VS.		
13	THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,		
14			
15 16	Defendants.		
10			
17	Plaintiffs have filed a motion for administrative leave for permission to file a motion to		
10	strike Defendants' opposition to Plaintiffs' request for judicial notice., or, alternatively, to		
20	permit Plaintiffs to file a reply to Defendants' opposition. In early May 2011, Defendants filed		
21	a request for judicial notice and the Court permitted Plaintiffs to file an opposition. Defendants then filed a reply to Plaintiffs' opposition. Because Defendants have fully briefed their request		
22			
23	for judicial notice, Plaintiffs should be given the same opportunity to fully brief their request		
24	for judicial notice. Accordingly,		
25	///		
26			
27			
28			
		Destate teste	

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, with regard to Plaintiffs' request for judicial notice,		
2	Plaintiffs may file a reply to Defendants' opposition of no more than ten (10) pages by no later		
3	than June 21, 2011.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5			
6			
7	Dated: June 13, 2011 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMS RONG		
8	United States District Judge		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24 25			
25 26			
20 27			
27 28			
20			
	-2-		