| 1 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 3 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 4 | OAKLAND DIVISION | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | IVANA KIROLA, et al., | Case No: C 07-3685 SBA | |
| 7 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO MEET AND CONFER AND TO | |
| 8 | VS. | FILE JOINT STATEMENT RE FURTHER PROCEEDINGS | |
| 9 | THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., | | |
| 10 | Defendants. | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | On March 10, 2014, Defendants filed their memorandum in response to the Court's | | |
| 14 | request for supplemental briefing regarding any new factual and/or legal developments that | | |
| 15 | may bear upon the resolution of this action. Defs.' Supp. Mem., Dkt. 657. In their brief, | | |
| 16 | Defendants state that they are unable to provide the Court with a comprehensive response | | |
| 17 | due to the limited time to respond and page limitations specified in the Court's order. Id. at | | |
| 18 | 1-2. Rather, Defendants contend that they should be afforded an additional and expanded | | |
| 19 | opportunity to submit briefing and evidence, and posit that additional court proceedings are | | |
| 20 | necessary for the Court to render a final decision on Plaintiff's claims. Id. Plaintiff's | | |
| 21 | response brief currently is due on March 24, 2014. Order Re Supp. Briefing, Dkt. 656. | | |
| 22 | To ensure that the Court is sufficiently informed and that parties have a full and fair | | |
| 23 | opportunity to express their views in this action, the Court directs the parties to meet and | | |
| 24 | confer regarding what, if any, additional briefing, submission of evidence and/or further | | |
| 25 | court proceedings are necessary and appropriate for the final resolution of this action. In | | |
| 26 | addition, the parties shall meet and confer regarding potential, further motion practice or | | |
| 27 | supplemental briefing regarding: (1) the issue of Plaintiff's standing, specifically as to | | |
| 28 | Plaintiff's purported injury-in-fact; (2) whether the previously-issued class certification | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | order should be reconsidered in light of the record developed at trial and/or changes in the | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 2 | law, such as the Supreme Court's post-certification clarification of Federal Rule of Civil | | |
| 3 | Procedure 23(a)(2)'s commonality requirement in <u>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes</u> , 131 S. | | |
| 4 | Ct. 2541 (2011); and (3) Defendant's program access improvements relating to public | | |
| 5 | rights of way, recreational facilities and public libraries. By no later than April 11, 2014, | | |
| 6 | the parties shall submit a Joint Statement Re Further Proceedings setting forth their agreed | | |
| 7 | upon and/or separate proposals regarding the foregoing. The Court's Order Re | | |
| 8 | Supplemental Briefing is vacated pending further order of the Court. | | |
| 9 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 10 | Dated: March 20, 2014 | | |
| 11 | SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTROMG Senior United States District Judge | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 20 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 22 | | | |
| 22 23 | | | |
| 23 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | - 2 - | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |