

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONNA HINES,

No. C-07-4145 CW (EMC)

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S
SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE TO
NOVEMBER 25, 2009 ORAL
DEPOSITION**

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION, *et al.*,

(Docket No. 206)

Defendants.

Plaintiff has filed a document with the Court captioned “Supplemental Notice to November 25, 2009 Oral Deposition.” Like her previous filing of November 25, 2009, *see* Docket No. 203 (“Plaintiff’s Request Regarding Oral Deposition”), this filing does not contain any request for relief from this Court. To the extent Plaintiff is asking for any relief, that request is denied without prejudice because it does not appear that Plaintiff has met and conferred with Defendant about the issues raised in the filing. The Court therefore orders the parties to meet and confer about the issues raised in this filing *in addition to* the issues raised in Plaintiff’s previous filing of November 25. If the parties are unable to resolve all issues raised in both this filing and the previous filing, then the parties shall file a joint letter with the Court no later than December 11, 2009.¹

As a final point, the Court advises Plaintiff that filings with the Court are appropriate only where a party is seeking relief from the Court (*i.e.*, a ruling from the Court on a dispute between the

¹ In its order of December 1, 2009, *see* Docket No. 205 (order), the Court erroneously set the date for the filing of a joint letter as December 1 rather than December 11. This order now corrects that error.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

parties). Thus, if Plaintiff is simply making a request of Defendant (as here), she should not send a copy of that request to the Court; nor should she file a copy of that request with the Court. Likewise, any meet-and-confer exchange between the parties should not, as a general matter, be sent to or filed with the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 4, 2009



EDWARD M. CHEN
United States Magistrate Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONNA HINES,

No. C-07-4145 CW (EMC)

Plaintiff,

v.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

_____/

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. On the below date, I served a true and correct copy of the attached, by placing said copy/copies in a postage-paid envelope addressed to the person(s) listed below, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail; or by placing said copy/copies into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Office of the Clerk.

Donna Hines
268 Bush Street, #3204
San Francisco, CA 94104
415-205-3377

Dated: December 4, 2009

RICHARD W. WIEKING, CLERK

By: _____ /s/
Leni Doyle
Deputy Clerk