$\mathbf{M} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{N}$

Mayer Brown LLP Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, California 94306-2112

> Main Tel (650) 331-2000 Main Fax (650) 331-2060 www.mayerbrown.com

Donald M. Falk Direct Tel (650) 331-2030 Direct Fax (650) 331-4530 dfalk@mayerbrown.com

April 28, 2008

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong United States District Court for the Northern District of California 1301 Clay Street, Suite 400S Oakland, CA 94612-5212

Re: Stiener v. Apple, Inc. et al., No. 4:07-cv-04486-SBA

Dear Judge Armstrong:

I represent defendant AT&T Mobility LLC ("ATTM") in this matter. Last Friday, we filed a motion for leave to file late a reply brief in support of ATTM's motion for a stay pending appeal. Docket Nos. 84 and 85.

Earlier today, counsel for plaintiffs (H. Tim Hoffman, Esq.) informed me that plaintiffs do not oppose our motion for leave to file the reply brief.

Respectfully submitted,

/s Donald M. Falk

Donald M. Falk

cc: E-filing service list