

1 MICHAEL L. CHARLSON (Bar No. 122125)
 2 mlcharlson@hhlaw.com
 3 LAURENCE A. WEISS (Bar No. 164638)
 4 laweiss@hhlaw.com
 5 J. CHRISTOPHER MITCHELL (Bar No. 215639)
 6 jcmitchell@hhlaw.com
 7 HOGAN & HARTSON LLP
 8 525 University Avenue, 2nd Floor
 9 Palo Alto, California 94301
 10 Telephone: +1 (650) 463-4000
 11 Facsimile: +1 (650) 463-4199

12 Attorneys for Defendants
 13 THRESHOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
 14 HAROLD E. "BARRY" SELICK
 15 and JANET I. SWEARSON

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 18 OAKLAND DIVISION

19 JERRY TWINDE, On Behalf of Himself and All
 20 Others Similarly Situated,
 21
 22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 THRESHOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
 25 HAROLD E. "BARRY" SELICK and JANET I.
 26 SWEARSON
 27 Defendants.

Case No.: 4:07-CV-04972-CW
 CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
 EXTENDING PAGE LIMIT FOR
 DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEF IN
 SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS
 PLAINTIFF'S CONSOLIDATED
 SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

The Honorable Claudia Wilken

28 RAYNOLD L. GILBERT, On Behalf of Himself
 and All Others Similarly Situated,
 Plaintiff,

v.

THRESHOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
 HAROLD E. "BARRY" SELICK and JANET I.
 SWEARSON
 Defendants.

1 WHEREAS on September 19, 2008, lead plaintiff timely filed the Consolidated Second
2 Amended Class Action Complaint for Violation of the Federal Securities Laws (Dkt. No. 48), and

3 WHEREAS on November 14, 2008, defendants timely filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs'
4 Consolidated Second Amended Complaint for Violation of the Federal Securities Laws ("Motion to
5 Dismiss") (Dkt. No. 56); and

6 WHEREAS on December 19, 2008, the Court entered a stipulated order providing that lead
7 plaintiff shall have 30 pages to respond to the Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 62); and

8 WHEREAS on December 22, 2008, lead plaintiff timely filed an opposition to defendants'
9 Motion to Dismiss; and

10 WHEREAS, defendants believe that because of the number and complexity of the issues
11 raised in lead plaintiff's opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, defendants will need an additional
12 five pages for their reply brief to fully respond to lead plaintiff's arguments; and

13 WHEREAS, lead plaintiff does not oppose an extension of the page limit for defendants'
14 reply to 20 pages;

15 IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED by lead plaintiff and defendants,
16 through their respective counsel of record, that, subject to the Court's approval, defendants shall
17 have 20 pages for their reply brief in support of defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

18

19 SO STIPULATED.

20

21 DATED: January 22, 2009

HOGAN & HARTSON LLP

22

23 By /s/ Laurence A. Weiss
LAURENCE A. WEISS

24

25 Attorneys for Defendants
26 THRESHOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
27 HAROLD E. "BARRY" SELICK
28 and JANET I. SWEARSON

28

1 DATED: January 22, 2009

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN
& ROBBINS LLP

2

3

100 Pine Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 288-4545
Facsimile: (415) 288-4534

4

5

6

By /s/ Daniel J. Pfefferbaum
DANIEL J. PFEFFERBAUM

7

8

Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff

9

10

* * *

11

I, Laurence A. Weiss, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
Stipulation And [Proposed] Order Extending Page Limit For Defendants' Reply Brief In Support
Of Motion To Dismiss Plaintiff's Consolidated Second Amended Complaint. In compliance with
General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Daniel J. Pfefferbaum has concurred in this filing.

12

13

14

15

/s/ Laurence A. Weiss
LAURENCE A. WEISS

16

17

18

* * *

19

ORDER

20

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, and good cause appearing, defendants shall have 20
pages for their reply brief in support of defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

22

23

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

1/22/09



25

DATED: _____

26

HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Court Judge

27

28