

1 SARA B. BRODY (Bar No. 130222)  
 CAROL LYNN THOMPSON (Bar No. 148079)  
 2 CECILIA Y. CHAN (Bar No. 240971)  
 AMANDA HASSID (Bar No. 254497)  
 3 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
 555 California Street  
 4 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 772-1200  
 5 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400  
 s.brody@sidley.com  
 6 cthompson@sidley.com  
 cecilia.chan@sidley.com  
 7 ahasid@sidley.com

8 Attorneys for Defendants  
 SONIC SOLUTIONS, DAVID C. HABIGER,  
 9 ROBERT J. DORIS, A. CLAY LEIGHTON,  
 MARY C. SAUER, MARK ELY, ROBERT M. GREBER,  
 10 PETER J. MARGUGLIO and R. WARREN LANGLEY

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 OAKLAND DIVISION

15		)	Case No.: C 07 5111 CW
16	CITY OF WESTLAND POLICE AND	)	
17	FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND	)	<b>STIPULATION AND ORDER</b>
18	PLYMOUTH COUNTY RETIREMENT	)	<b>AMENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE</b>
19	SYSTEM, On Behalf of Themselves and	)	
20	All Others Similarly Situated,	)	
21		)	
22	Plaintiff,	)	
23		)	
24	v.	)	
25		)	
26	SONIC SOLUTIONS, DAVID C.	)	
27	HABIGER, ROBERT J. DORIS, A. CLAY	)	
28	LEIGHTON, MARY C. SAUER, MARK	)	
	ELY, ROBERT M. GREBER, PETER J.	)	
	MARGUGLIO and R. WARREN	)	
	LANGLEY,	)	
		)	
	Defendants.	)	

1 WHEREAS, on October 4, 2007, plaintiff City of Westland Police and Fire Retirement  
2 System (“the City of Westland”), a shareholder of Sonic Solutions (“Sonic” or the “Company”),  
3 filed its initial class action complaint against Sonic and certain of its officers and directors (the  
4 “Sonic Defendants”), alleging federal securities law violations arising from Sonic’s historical  
5 stock option granting practices;<sup>1</sup>

6 WHEREAS, on January 10, 2008, the Honorable Martin Jenkins appointed the City of  
7 Westland as lead plaintiff for the class;

8 WHEREAS, on March 21, 2008, Plaintiff filed a consolidated amended complaint;

9 WHEREAS, on May 27, 2008, Plaintiff filed a corrected consolidated amended  
10 complaint;

11 WHEREAS, on June 27, 2008, Defendants moved to dismiss the corrected consolidated  
12 amended complaint, and on April 6, 2009, this Court issued its decision granting in part and  
13 denying in part the motion with leave to amend;

14 WHEREAS, the Court’s April 6, 2009 Order set forth a schedule requiring Plaintiff to  
15 file its second amended complaint by May 8, 2009, and Defendants to respond by June 18, 2009;

16 WHEREAS, on May 8, 2009, the Plaintiff filed a “First Amended Class Action  
17 Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Law” (the “Amended Complaint”) against the  
18 Defendants;

19 WHEREAS, the parties are currently involved in settlement discussions and have  
20 scheduled a mediation session for June 24, 2009 with United States District Court Judge Layn  
21 Phillips (Ret.);

22 WHEREAS, in light of the parties’ settlement discussions and upcoming mediation  
23 session, the parties agree, in the interests of judicial efficiency, that Defendants should not be  
24 required to respond by motion or otherwise to the Amended Complaint during the pendency of  
25 the parties mediation efforts;

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>1</sup> The individual defendants include David C. Habiger, Robert J. Doris, A. Clay Leighton, Mary  
28 C. Sauer, Mark Ely, Robert M. Greber, Peter J. Marguglio, and R. Warren Langley (collectively,  
with nominal defendant Sonic Solutions, the “Defendants”).



