Sunday v. Sisto

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROMAN SUNDAY.

No. C 07-05308 SBA (PR)

Petitioner,

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

v.

D. K. SISTO, Warden,

Respondent.

Petitioner has filed a motion for appointment of counsel in this action. He has also filed a renewed request for appointment of counsel.

The Sixth Amendment right to counsel does not apply in habeas corpus actions. See Knaubert v. Goldsmith, 791 F.2d 722, 728 (9th Cir. 1986). Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B), however, authorizes a district court to appoint counsel to represent a habeas petitioner whenever "the court determines that the interests of justice so require" and such person is financially unable to obtain representation. The decision to appoint counsel is within the discretion of the district court. See Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986); Knaubert, 791 F.2d at 728; Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir. 1984). The courts have made appointment of counsel the exception rather than the rule by limiting it to: (1) capital cases; (2) cases that turn on substantial and complex procedural, legal or mixed legal and factual questions; (3) cases involving uneducated or mentally or physically impaired petitioners; (4) cases likely to require the assistance of experts either

Doc. 25

1	in framing or in trying the claims; (5) cases in which petitioner is in no position to investigate crucial
2	facts; and (6) factually complex cases. See generally 1 J. Liebman & R. Hertz, Federal Habeas
3	Corpus Practice and Procedure § 12.3b at 383-86 (2d ed. 1994). Appointment is mandatory only
4	when the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent
5	due process violations. See Chaney, 801 F.2d at 1196; Eskridge v. Rhay, 345 F.2d 778, 782 (9th
6	Cir. 1965).
7	At this time, the Court is unable to determine whether the appointment of counsel is
8	mandated for Petitioner. Accordingly, the interests of justice do not require appointment of counsel,
9	and Petitioner's request is DENIED. This denial is without prejudice to the Court's sua sponte
10	reconsideration should the Court find an evidentiary hearing necessary following consideration of
11	the merits of Petitioner's claims.
12	This Order terminates Docket nos. 20 and 24.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	Dated: 1/15/10 Saundre B Grmsting
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 4	ROMAN SUNDAY, Case Number: CV07-05308 SBA Plaintiff,
5	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE v.
6	D.K. SISTO et al,
7 8	
9	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
10	That on January 19, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
11 12	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located
in the Clerk's office.	in the Clerk's office.
14	
15	
16	Roman Sunday California State Prison - Solano V-11338
17	P.O. Box 4000 Vacaville, CA 95696-4000
18	Dated: January 19, 2010
19	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
26	
27	

28