

1 Kenneth H. Natkin (Bar No. 85021)
LAW OFFICES KENNETH NATKIN
2 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 900
Oakland, CA., 94612
3 Telephone: (510) 273-8650
Facsimile: (510) 273-8652
4 Email: ken@natkinlaw.com

5 Attorney for Plaintiff
BILL POON & COMPANY,
6 ARCHITECTS, INC.

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 BILL POON & COMPANY,)
10 ARCHITECTS, INC.,)
11 Plaintiff,)
12 v.)
13 KHALIL BAFAIZ, an individual,)
NABIL W. TAOVIL, an individual,)
14 G.A.R.S. Incorporated, a)
California Corporation, GEORGE)
15 G. PAVANA, an individual,)
INDERJIT CHADHA, an individual,)
16 NAEEM AKRAM, an individual,)
and DOES 1 through 20,)
17 inclusive,)
18 Defendants.)

Case No. C07-05566 PJH
STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED)
ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE
FOR DELIVERY OF CONSIDERATION

19 _____)
KHALIL BAFAIZ,)
20 Cross-Claimant)

21 v.)
22 MELISSA CHAVIS, an individual,)
23 NABIL W. TAOVIL, an individual,)
G.A.R.S., Incorporated, a)
24 California Corporation, GEORGE)
PAVANA, and individual,)
25 Cross-Defendants)
26 _____)

27 /
28

1 FACTUAL BACKGROUND

2 At the ENE session on November 6, 2008, the parties reached
3 a settlement of the case. The settlement specified the payment
4 of the consideration for the settlement by some parties would
5 take place on or before December 1, 2008. Thereafter, on
6 November 25, 2008 the Court entered a dismissal without prejudice
7 of the case and specified that the dismissal would become with
8 prejudice if no party objected within thirty days. One or more
9 parties has not delivered the full consideration required by the
10 terms of the settlement. Said parties expect to be able to
11 deliver their full consideration after December 25, but before
12 January 10. The parties request that the Court extend the time
13 for delivery of the consideration that was specified by the
14 settlement agreement for 15 days.

15 In furtherance of this request, the undersigned,
16 counsel for all the parties hereto, hereby stipulate to extend
17 the deadline for delivery of the consideration called for by the
18 settlement agreement with respect to the above captioned matter
19 until January 10, 2009

20 /

21 /

22 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

23 Dated: 17 Dec. 2008

24 _____

25 Dated: ___ Dec. 2008



Kenneth Natkin, Attorney for
Plaintiff BILL POON
ARCHITECTS, INC.

26
27 _____
28 Matthew Webb, Attorney for
Defendant and Cross-

_____)

/

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

At the ENE session on November 6, 2008, the parties reached a settlement of the case. The settlement specified the payment of the consideration for the settlement by some parties would take place on or before December 1, 2008. Thereafter, on November 25, 2008 the Court entered a dismissal without prejudice of the case and specified that the dismissal would become with prejudice if no party objected within thirty days. One or more parties has not delivered the full consideration required by the terms of the settlement. Said parties expect to be able to deliver their full consideration after December 25, but before January 10. The parties request that the Court extend the time for delivery of the consideration that was specified by the settlement agreement for 15 days.

In furtherance of this request, the undersigned, counsel for all the parties hereto, hereby stipulate to extend the deadline for delivery of the consideration called for by the settlement agreement with respect to the above captioned matter until January 10, 2009

/

/

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: ___ Dec. 2008

Dated: 17 Dec. 2008

Kenneth Natkin, Attorney for
Plaintiff BILL BOON ARCHITECTS, INC.




Matthew Webb, Attorney for
Defendant and Cross-Complainant
KHALIL BAFAIZ, and
Defendants INDERJIT CHADHA,
and NAEEM AKRAM

Complainant KHALIL BAFAIZ, and
Defendants INDERJIT CHADHA,
and NAEEM AKRAM

Dated: ___ Dec. 2008

Gerald Filice, Attorney for
Defendants G.A.R.S.
INCORPORATED, GEORGE G.
PAVANA, and NABIL W. TAOVIL

Dated: 17 Dec. 2008


Larry E. Lulofs, Attorney for
Cross-Defendant MELISSA CHAVIS

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: ___ Dec. 2008

Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
Judge, U.S. District Court
Northern District of
California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Complainant KHALIL BAFIIZ, and
Defendants INDERJIT CHADHA,
and NAEEM AKRAM

Dated: 17 Dec. 2008

~~Gerard Filice, Attorney for
Defendants G.A.R.S.
INCORPORATED, GEORGE G.
PAVANA, and NABIL W. TAOVIL~~

Dated: Dec. 2008

Larry E. Lulofs, Attorney for
Cross-Defendant MELISSA CHAVIS

IT IS SO ORDERED:

1/5/09
Dated: Dec. ~~2008~~



~~Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
Judge, U.S. District Court
Northern District of California~~