Doc. 8

- Cases."). The Parties in *Jiran* and *Pishvaee* today filed a parallel stipulation to extend the Case Management Conference.
- 2. The Parties in the Messaging Cases have previously apprised this Court of the national settlement of a parallel class action pending before the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia and captioned *Tracie McFerren v. AT&T Mobility, LLC*, Fulton County Superior Court Case No. 08-CV-151322. The settlement was preliminarily approved on May 30, 2008, and the final approval hearing was set for December 8, 2008.
- 3. On August 26, 2008, this Court granted AT&T Mobility LLC's Motion to Stay, pending final approval of the *McFerren* settlement.
- 4. On September 29, 2008, the Honorable Judge Miller of the United States District Court, Southern District of California, in the *In Re Jamster Marketing Litigation MDL* 1751, granted in part a motion to issue an injunction under the All Writs Act prohibiting AT&T Mobility from prosecuting or settling the *McFerren* action. The result of the MDL Court's injunction order (the "Injunction Order") was a limited injunction that barred AT&T Mobility from settling through the *McFerren* action any claims related to the marketing of Jamster mobile content.
- 5. On October 24, 2008, AT&T appealed the Injunction Order to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.
- 6. On November 13, 2008, the *McFerren* court postponed its final approval hearing until further notice, pending the Ninth Circuit's ruling on the appeal.
- 7. The Ninth Circuit heard oral argument on May 4, 2009. On July 1, 2009, AT&T filed a motion to stay in the Ninth Circuit, on grounds that all parties in the *In Re Jamster Marketing Litigation MDL* 1751 had reached an agreement in principle to settle the claims asserted in that litigation. On July 20, 2009, the Ninth Circuit stayed the matter for 120 days so that the settlement could be finalized and presented for preliminary approval.
- 8. On November 3, 2009, counsel for the MDL Plaintiffs in *In Re Jamster Marketing Litigation MDL* 1751 filed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement between the Parties with the district court. A hearing for preliminary approval is set for November 16, 2009.

- 9. The Parties anticipate filing a Motion for Final Approval with the McFerren court as soon as the In Re Jamster Marketing Litigation MDL 1751 Settlement receives preliminary approval. The Parties further anticipate that the McFerren court will issue final approval of that settlement within two months and at that time, the parties will advise this Court of the status of the cases pending in this Court and whether any claims/causes of actions exist or have been fully resolved by the other settlements.
- 10. In addition, Defendant m-Qube and plaintiffs' counsel in a parallel national class action have entered into a nationwide settlement resolving all claims against m-Qube related to the alleged imposition of unauthorized mobile content charges on the cell phone bills of wireless subscribers other than those relating to AT&T (which will be released in the *McFerren* settlement. Judge Stewart E. Palmer of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, granted preliminary approval to the class action settlement on November 3, 2009, in the case Parone, et al. v. m-Qube, Inc., et al., No. 08 CH 15834 (Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois). Judge Palmer has set the Final Fairness Hearing for February 24, 2010.
- 11. Under the circumstances, the Parties in the above actions request that the CMC be continued, as to them only, until December 22, 2009 at 2:00 p.m. or any date thereafter as is convenient for the court.

WHEREFORE, the Parties respectfully request this Court enter an Order Continuing the Case Management Conference presently set for November 17, 2009 at 2:30 pm until December 22, 2009 at 2:00 p.m., or such other date as is convenient to the Court, where if these matter are not resolved the Parties will discuss a proposed schedule for a responsive pleadings deadline.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

27

28

1	Dated: November 16, 2009	KamberEdelson, LLC
2		,
3		/s/ Myles McGuire
4		MYLES MCGUIRE
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff Aliza Valdez
6		Terry M. Gordon
7		The Law Offices of Terry M. Gordon 1039 Erica Road
8		Mill Valley, California 94941 Telephone: (415) 331-3601
9		Facsimile: (415) 331-1225
10		Of Counsel Jay Edelson Myles McGuire
11		Myles McGuire KamberEdelson, LLC 53 West Jackson Blvd.
12		Suite 1530 Chicago, IL 60604
13		John G. Jacobs
14		Bryan G. Kolton The Jacobs Law Firm, CHTD.
15		122 South Michigan Ave Suite 1850
16		Chicago, IL 60603
17		
18	Dated: November 16, 2009	Broad & Cassel
19		
20		/s/ Jeffrey R. Geldens JEFFREY R. GELDENS
21		Attorney for Defendant Buongiorno USA, Inc.
22		Jeffrey R. Geldens
23		BROAD & CASSEL One Biscayne Tower
24		2 South Biscayne Boulevard, 21st Floor Miami, FL 33131
25		Telephone: (305) 373-9400
26		
27		
28		
		- 3 -

1	Dated: November 16, 2009	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
2		
3		/s/ Angel L. Tang
4		RONALD L. JOHNSTON ANGEL L. TANG
5		Attorneys for Defendants
6		VeriSign, Inc. and m-Qube, Inc.
7		777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 4400 Los Angeles, California 90017 (213) 243-4000
8		Of Counsel
9		James Cooper Arnold & Porter LLP
10		555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1206
11		Telephone: (202) 942-5014
12		
13	Dated: November 16, 2009	GORDON & REES, LLP
14		
15		/s/ Ryan Polk
16		RYAN POLK
17		Attorney for Defendant Buongiorno USA, Inc.
18		Ryan Polk Gordon & Rees, LLP
19		275 Battery Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 986-5900
20	ES DISTRIOS	Telephone. (413) 700 3700
21	STATES DISTRICT CO.	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED	
2425	IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Claudia Wilken	RNIA
26		
27	DISTRICT OF CE	
28	OISTRIC!	