

1 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
 City Attorney
 2 JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961
 Chief Trial Attorney
 3 MEREDITH B. OSBORN, State Bar #250467
 Deputy City Attorney
 Fox Plaza
 4 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor
 San Francisco, California 94102-5408
 5 Telephone: (415) 554-3911
 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837
 6 E-Mail: meredith.osborn@sfgov.org

7
 8 Attorneys for Defendants
 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
 CHIEF HEATHER FONG, OFFICER GREGORY
 9 BUHAGIAR, AND OFFICER ARSHAD RAZZAK

10
 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13

14 ERIC JONES,
 15 Plaintiff,
 16 vs.

17 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
 FRANCISCO, et al.,
 18 Defendants.

Case No. C08-00373 CW

STIPULATION AND ORDER
 ENLARGING DISPOSITIVE MOTION
 BRIEFING SCHEDULE

[Civil L.R. 7-12]

Trial Date: December 14, 2009

19
 20 CHRISTOPHER GASCON,
 21 Plaintiff,
 22 vs.

23 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
 FRANCISCO, et al.,
 24 Defendants.

Case No. C 08-05734 CW

1 All parties, plaintiffs Christopher Gascon and Eric Jones and defendants Officers Gregory
2 Buhagiar and Arshad Razzak, City and County of San Francisco, and Chief Heather Fong, hereby
3 stipulate to modify the briefing schedule for the parties' motions for partial summary judgment.

4 Trial is currently set for December 14, 2009.

5 On June 8, 2009, the Court set a modified briefing schedule for dispositive motions in this
6 case. Under the Court's schedule, plaintiffs' cross-motion for partial summary judgment and
7 opposition to defendants' motion for partial summary judgment was filed on June 18, 2009.
8 Defendants' reply in support of their motion and opposition to plaintiffs' cross-motion is due on June
9 25, 2009, plaintiffs' reply to defendants' opposition is due on July 2, 2009, and the hearing date is July
10 16, 2009.

11 Good cause exists for the enlargement of time. Defendants' counsel, Meredith B. Osborn, was
12 involved in a serious bicycle accident on June 13, 2009. Ms. Osborn suffered a concussion, broken
13 nose, multiple lacerations to her face and body, and dental injuries. Ms. Osborn was held for two
14 nights at the hospital, and advised not to return to work for one month in order to recuperate. Ms.
15 Osborn nonetheless returned to work on June 22, 2009 in order to attempt to meet preexisting
16 deadlines in the matters to which she is assigned. Since returning to work, Ms. Osborn has also had to
17 juggle numerous medical appointments to address her injuries and continued to suffer the effects of
18 her accident. Due to her absence from work for a week and continued recuperation, Ms. Osborn has
19 been unable adequately prepare defendants' reply brief in support of defendants' motion for partial
20 summary judgment and opposition to plaintiffs' cross-motion for partial summary judgment according
21 to the schedule set by the Court.

22 Since being assigned to this matter, Ms. Osborn has been the primary attorney representing the
23 defendants. As set forth in her attached declaration, she has taken plaintiffs' depositions and defended
24 the officers' depositions, and conducted the necessary investigation to support a summary judgment
25 motion. Ms. Osborn also prepared defendants' motion for partial summary judgment. No other deputy
26 city attorney has sufficient familiarity with this case to be able to prepare a fully developed opposition
27 to plaintiffs' cross-motion for partial summary judgment or reply brief in support of defendants'

1 motion. Granting the enlargement of time will therefore preserve scarce judicial resources by enabling
2 defendants to fully prepare their briefing in opposition to plaintiffs' cross-motion and reply to
3 plaintiffs' opposition and potentially narrow the issues at trial.

4 Neither the parties nor the court will be prejudiced by enlarging the briefing schedule and
5 extending the hearing date.

6 For all of the foregoing reasons, the parties stipulate that the time for filing defendants' reply in
7 support of their motion and opposition to plaintiffs' cross-motion be enlarged by one week to July 2,
8 2009, that the time for plaintiffs' reply to defendants' opposition to plaintiffs' cross-motion be extended
9 to July 9, 2009, and the hearing date be extended to August 6, 2009.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

11
12 Dated: June 30, 2009

13
14 By: /s/ Meredith B. Osborn
MEREDITH B. OSBORN

15 Attorneys for Defendants
16 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
17 HEATHER FONG, GREGORY BUHAGIAR,
ARSHAD RAZZAK

18 Dated: June 30, 2009

19 By: /s/ Benjamin Nisenbaum
BENJAMIN NISENBAUM

20 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
21 CHRISTOPHER GASCON & ERIC JONES

22
23 **ORDER**

24 Pursuant to this stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED

25
26 Dated: 6/30/09



27
28 HON. CLAUDIA WILKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28