Boni	lla v.	Ayers	
DOIL	u .		

Doc. 110

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3 4 5 6	IN RE STEVEN BONILLA, Plaintiff. / / / / / / / / / / / / /		
7			
8	In 2011, Plaintiff filed thirty-six <u>pro se</u> civil rights		
9	actions in this Court, and two other civil rights actions he had		
10	filed in state court were removed to this Court. In 2012, he has,		
11	so far, filed seven new civil rights actions. To date, the Court,		
12	on its initial review of Plaintiff's complaints pursuant to 28		
13	U.S.C. § 1915A, has dismissed all thirty-eight of the 2011 actions		
14	and three of the 2012 actions for the reason that the allegations		
15	in the complaints do not state a claim for relief under § 1983,		
16	either because Plaintiff seeks relief that can be pursued only in a		
17	habeas corpus action or because his allegations fail to state a		
18	claim upon which relief may be granted. In addition, the Court has		
19	dismissed a number of Plaintiff's actions because they are		
20	duplicative of actions previously reviewed and dismissed by the		
21	Court.		
22	The present three actions are subject to dismissal for the		
23	same reasons discussed above, i.e., they seek relief not available		

24 under § 1983 and are duplicative of actions previously reviewed and 25 dismissed by the Court. Accordingly, these actions are DISMISSED 26 without leave to amend and with prejudice.

27 Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> in these
28 actions. The Court previously informed Plaintiff that, in

United States District Court For the Northern District of California 1 accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), he no longer qualifies to 2 proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> in any civil rights action he files in 3 this Court. <u>See In re Steven Bonilla</u>, Nos. C 11-3180, et seq. CW 4 (PR), Order of Dismissal at 6:23-7:19. Further, the Court will not 5 provide Plaintiff with the option of paying, in full, the \$350.00 6 filing fee for each action because each action is without legal 7 merit.

8 The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment in each of these 9 civil rights actions, terminate all pending motions therein, and 10 close the files. <u>The Clerk of the Court also shall file a copy of</u> 11 <u>this Order in C 08-0471</u>.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/11/2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN W. BONILLA,

Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV12-00360 CW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

JON GOODFELLOW et al,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on April 11, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Steven Wayne Bonilla J-48500 San Quentin State Prison San Quentin, CA 94964

Dated: April 11, 2012

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Nikki Riley, Deputy Clerk