1	Reed N. Colfax, Pro Hac Vice D. Scott Chang # 146403	
2	RELMAN & DANE, PLLC 1225 19 th Street, NW	
3	Suite 600 Washington DC 20036-2456	
4	Telephone: (202) 728-1888	
5	Facsimile: (202) 728-0848 rcolfax @relmanlaw.com	
6	schang@relmanlaw.com	
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
8	David M. King #95279 Jeremy A. Burns #239917	
9	CARR, McCLELLAN, INGERSOLL, THOMPS 216 Park Road	SON & HORN
10	P.O. Box 513 Burlingame CA 94011-0513	
11	Telephone: (650) 642-9600 Facsimile: (650) 342-7685	
12	<u>dking@carr-mcclellan.com</u> jburns@carr-mcclellan.com	
13	Attorneys for Defendant SFO Good-Nite Inn LLC	
14		
15	UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRI	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16		
	NORTHERN DISTRI	
16	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA) Case No. C-08-1171 SBA)) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH
16 17	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA) Case No. C-08-1171 SBA)) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH) COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION) TO ENFORCE TERMS OF
16 17 18	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff,	CT OF CALIFORNIA) Case No. C-08-1171 SBA)) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH) COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION
16 17 18 19	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff, vs.	CT OF CALIFORNIA) Case No. C-08-1171 SBA)) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH) COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION) TO ENFORCE TERMS OF
16 17 18 19 20	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff, vs. SFO GOOD NITE INN, LLC,	CT OF CALIFORNIA) Case No. C-08-1171 SBA)) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH) COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION) TO ENFORCE TERMS OF
16 17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff, vs. SFO GOOD NITE INN, LLC,	CT OF CALIFORNIA) Case No. C-08-1171 SBA)) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH) COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION) TO ENFORCE TERMS OF
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff, vs. SFO GOOD NITE INN, LLC, Defendant.	CT OF CALIFORNIA) Case No. C-08-1171 SBA)) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH) COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION) TO ENFORCE TERMS OF
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff, vs. SFO GOOD NITE INN, LLC, Defendant.	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. C-08-1171 SBA Case No. C-08-1171 SBA STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; ORDER Set Set Strain Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties by
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff, vs. SFO GOOD NITE INN, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) of the Fede	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. C-08-1171 SBA Case No. C-08-1171 SBA STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; ORDER Set
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff, vs. SFO GOOD NITE INN, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) of the Feder their respective counsel of record, hereby stipul	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. C-08-1171 SBA Case No. C-08-1171 SBA STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; ORDER Set
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	NORTHERN DISTRIC SIAUPIU VAOVASA, et al., Plaintiff, vs. SFO GOOD NITE INN, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) of the Feder their respective counsel of record, hereby stipul with prejudice, except that the court will retain	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. C-08-1171 SBA Case No. Count of Samissed Case No. Count of Samissed Case No. Count of Samissed

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER; CASE NO. C-08-1171 SBA

1	RELMAN & DANE PLLC
2	Dated: February, 2009.
3	D
4	By: Reed N. Colfax
5	D. Scott Chang Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6	CARR, McCLELLAN, INGERSOLL, THOMPSON & HORN
7	I HOMPSON & HORN
8	Dated: February, 2009.
9	Dated. Pebluary, 2009.
10	By: David M. King
11	Jeremy A. Burns Attorneys for Defendant
12	SFO Good-Nite Inn LLC
13	
14	ORDER
15	Pursuant to stipulation, it is hereby ordered that the above-entitled action is
16	dismissed with prejudice. The court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of
17	the settlement agreement.
17 18	the settlement agreement. It is so ordered.
18	It is so ordered. Dated: <u>3/13/09</u>
18 19	It is so ordered. Dated: 3/13/09
18 19 20	It is so ordered. Dated: <u>3/13/09</u> Saundra Brown Armstrong
18 19 20 21	It is so ordered. Dated: <u>3/13/09</u> Saundra Brown Armstrong
18 19 20 21 22	It is so ordered. Dated: <u>3/13/09</u> Saundra Brown Armstrong
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	It is so ordered. Dated: <u>3/13/09</u> Saundra Brown Armstrong
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	It is so ordered. Dated: <u>3/13/09</u> Saundra Brown Armstrong
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	It is so ordered. Dated: <u>3/13/09</u> Saundra Brown Armstrong

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER; CASE NO. C-08-1171 SBA