STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR AMENDED PRETRIAL PREPARATION ORDER Case No.: CV 08-1588 SBA

Giffen v. Michael Taylor Designs, Inc.

Doc. 53

SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLP Attorneys at Law

28

	i					
1	Plaintiff William Giffen, as substituted party plaintiff and counter-defendant ("Giffen")					
2	and defendant and counter-complainant, Michael Taylor Designs, Inc. ("MTD") hereby request,					
3	and stipulate to, an Amended Pretrial Preparation Order that amends the Pretrial Preparation					
4	Order entered on April 2, 2009 (Doc. 44). The parties' request and stipulation are based on the					
5	following:					
6	1.	On April 2, 2009, the	Court entered a Pretrial Preparation Order (Doc. 44)			
7		following the Initial Case Management Conference, which set the following				
8		schedule:				
9		9/30/09	End of Non-Expert Discovery			
10		10/30/09	Exchange of expert designation			
11		11/30/09	Close of expert discovery			
12		1/12/10	Hearings on Dispositive motions			
13		1/13-2/5/10	Mandatory Settlement Conference			
14		1/31/10	Meet and Confer			
15		2/9/10	Joint Pretrial Conference Statement			
16		2/9/10	Serve Trial Briefs			
17		2/16/10	Motions in Limine & Objections to Evidence			
18		2/23/10	Responses to Motions in limine or objections			
19		3/2/10	Pretrial Conference			
20		3/8/10	Trial – 5 days			
21	2.	In an effort to resolve	this case expeditiously and inexpensively, the parties			
22		engaged in a settlement conference before Magistrate Zimmerman on				
23		June 26, 2009.				
24	3.	The parties made a go	ood faith effort to resolve this action, but were unable to do			
25		so primarily due to the denial of insurance coverage by MTD's insurer. A further				
26		settlement conference was scheduled before Magistrate Zimmerman for				
27		September 24, 2009. The continued date was chosen to provide time for Giffen to				

file a first amended complaint, and for MTD to file a separate coverage lawsuit

Attorneys at Law

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

28

against its insurer and obtain jurisdiction by the Court over its insurer before the
September 24, 2009 settlement conference, to hopefully facilitate a settlement in
this case

4. The parties intend to engage in further settlement discussions in a good faith effort to resolve this case. To allow the parties time to attempt a good faith resolution to this action without incurring the costs and fees necessary to complete discovery, the parties have stipulated and agreed to extend the deadlines to complete discovery and all other deadlines in the Pretrial Preparation Order by approximately 90 days. Extending the deadlines in this amount should be sufficient to allow the parties to continue with their good faith efforts to resolve this matter and complete discovery should those efforts fail.

Accordingly, in an effort to promote settlement and ensure the just, expeditious, and inexpensive resolution of this case, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by the undersigned parties that the Pretrial Preparation Order entered on April 2, 2009 be amended as follows (or as closely to the following schedule as the Court's calendar allows):

16	12/18/09	End of Non-Expert Discovery
17	1/28/10	Exchange of expert designation
18	2/28/10	Close of expert discovery
19	4/13/10	Hearings on Dispositive motions
20	4/14-5/7/10	Mandatory Settlement Conference
21	5/1/10	Meet and Confer
22	5/11/10	Joint Pretrial Conference Statement
23	5/11/10	Serve Trial Briefs
24	5/18/10	Motions in Limine & Objections to Evidence
25	5/25/10	Responses to Motions in limine or objections
26	6/1/10	Pretrial Conference
27	6/21/10	Trial – 5 days
	1	

	1					
	2	Dated: August 20, 2009		SEILI	ER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLP	
	3					
	4			By:	/s/ Douglas A. Applegate Douglas A. Applegate, Esq.	
	5					
	6			Ivy R	neys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant cosequist, individually and doing business a er-Wicker-Wicker	
	7					
	8	Dated:	August 20, 2009	GAU	NTLETT & ASSOCIATES	
	9			D		
يـ	10			By:	/s/ David A. Gauntlett David A. Gauntlett James A. Lowe	
	11				Andrew M. Sussman	
SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLI Attorneys at Law	12 13			Attor Mich	neys for Defendant and Counterclaimant ael Taylor Designs, Inc.	
ZE	15					
API W	14		PURSUANT TO STIPU	LATION, IT IS SO	IS SO ORDERED.	
t La	15					
N ZIEGLER & Al Attorneys at Law	16	Dated:	_8/25/09		Laurelee B. Ormskag	
ZEE	17				UNITED STATES DISTRICT STOGE	
Z A	18					
PSTE	19					
지 된	20					
ILE	21					
N H	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					
	26					
	27					
	28					