28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

WILLIAM GIFFEN, an individual and successorin-interest to IVY ROSEQUIST, individually and doing business as WICKER-WICKER,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL TAYLOR DESIGNS,

Defendant.

MICHAEL TAYLOR DESIGNS,

Counter-claimant,

VS.

WILLIAM GIFFEN, an individual and successorin-interest to IVY ROSEQUIST, individually and doing business as WICKER-WICKER-WICKER MICHAEL TAYLOR DESIGNS,

Counter-defendant.

Plaintiff commenced this action on March 24, 2008. On April 2, 2009, the Court, upon

consultation with the parties, entered a pretrial scheduling order which set the trial date for March 8, 2010. (Docket 44.) On August 20, 2009, the parties requested a continuance of the trial date

and enlargement of the pretrial schedule by a period of 90 days to allow the parties additional time

to discuss settlement. On August 26, 2010, the Court granted the parties' stipulated request and

26 continued the trial to June 21, 2010.

The parties are now before the Court on the parties' Stipulation and [Proposed] Order for

Amended Pretrial Preparation Order, in which they request another 90 day extension of the trial

Case No: C 08-1588 SBA (BZ)

ORDER DENYING STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AMENDED PRETRIAL PREPARATION ORDER

date, again to engage in further settlement discussions. The Court finds that the parties have had ample opportunity to explore settlement, and they have not demonstrated good cause for another extension of the trial date and related pretrial dates. Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(b); <u>Johnson v. Mammoth</u> Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 607-08 (9th Cir. 1992). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the parties' Stipulation and [Proposed] Order for Amended Pretrial Preparation Order (Docket 59) is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: December 16, 2009 United States District Judge