	Thomas G.F. Del Beccaro (California Bar #132351)		
<i>5</i> ,	Del Beccaro, Hornsby & Blake 800 So. Broadway, Suite 301		
`2	∥ Walnut Creek, California 94596	1 .	
3	Telephone: (925) 280-4487 Facsimile: (925) 284-2015		
4			
	Robert R. Pohls (California Bar #131021)		
5	Stacey L. Leask (California Bar #233281) Pohls & Associates		•
6	12657 Alcosta Boulevard, Suite 150		
7	San Ramon, California 94583 Telephone: (925) 973-0300	•	•
	Telephone: (925) 973-0300 Facsimile: (925) 973-0330		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Ernest C. Wheeler		
. 9	Automoyo for Figures 211002 of Terrorio	-	
4 Å	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)		
10	United States Attorney		
11	JOANN M. SWANSON (CABN 88143) Chief, Civil Division		
12	NEILL T. TSENG (CABN 220348)		
	Assistant United States Attorney		
13	750 C 11 C 11 C 12 C 15 C 15 C 15 C 15 C 15		
14	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495		
15	Telephone: (415) 436-7155		
	FAX: (415) 436-6748		•
16	neill.tseng@usdoj.gov		•
17	Attaurant Car Defendent		
18	Attorneys for Defendant		
* •	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
,19			
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIV	ISION	
21	ERNEST C. WHEELER,) No. C 08-01738 SBA) .
		[ABB]/	
22	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [
23) PROTECTIVE ORDE v.) CONFIDENTIALITY	R RE:	
24	v.) CONTIDENTIALITY		- •
25	MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary,		
25	Department of Homeland Security, Federal)		
26	Emergency Management Agency)		
27	Defendant.		
28)		
∠8_			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: CONFIDENTIALITY		
	C 08-01738 SBA		

Subject to the approval of this Court, the parties hereby stipulate to the following protective order:

The parties agree that entry of the following protective order is necessary in order to: (1) facilitate discovery of information without document by document controversy concerning confidentiality; (2) protect current and former employees of the defendant from undue annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, burden and expense resulting from public disclosure or use for purposes other than this litigation of confidential information that the parties will disclose in discovery; and (3) avoid violation of the Privacy Act as codified at 5 U.S.C. §552a. The parties therefore request that the Court enter the following protective order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).

STIPULATED ORDER

All documents obtained from the defendant in response to discovery requests made under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or made pursuant to any disclosure requirement, that are contained within any EEO file relating to an EEO complaint against Judith Reilly by Georgetta Law, Harold Pashon or Richard Rodenbaugh, shall be subject to the following restrictions:

- All information shall be used only for the purpose of this litigation and not for any other purpose;
- 2. No information shall be disclosed to anyone other than (a) the attorneys employed by plaintiff and its staff; (b) the parties; (c) actual or potential third-party witnesses; (d) outside experts or consultants retained by any of the parties or their counsel for purposes of this litigation; (e) the Court in further proceedings herein; (f) stenographic deposition reporters; and (g) other persons upon whom the parties mutually agree in writing;
 - 3. There shall be no reproduction of the documents, except that, as required by the

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED: DATED:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: CONFIDENTIALITY C 08-01738 SBA $\ensuremath{\mbox{4}}$