

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

Ruckus Wireless, Inc.

CASE NO. 08-02310 PJH

Plaintiff(s),

v.

NETGEAR, Inc. and Rayspan Corporation

STIPULATION AND [~~PROPOSED~~]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*
- other requested deadline _____

Dated: Oct. 6, 2008

/s/ Robert Yorio
Attorney for Plaintiff Ruckus Wireless, Inc.

Dated: Oct. 6, 2008

/s/ David M. Barkan
Attorney for Defendant NETGEAR, Inc.
and Defendant Rayspan Corporation

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:


- Non-binding Arbitration
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- Mediation
- Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- 90 days from the date of this order.
- other [REDACTED]

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/15/08 [REDACTED]



Declaration

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Robert Yorio.

Dated: October 6, 2008

Fish & Richardson P.C.

/s/David M. Barkan

David M. Barkan

Attorneys for NETGEAR, Inc. and

Rayspan Corporation