1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	JOSEPH HALBLEIB,	No. C-08-2657 CW (EMC)
9	Plaintiff,	
10	V.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART, DENYING IN PART, AND DEFERRING RULING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S
11	CHP OFFICER DALE COPPAGE,	RULING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL
12	Defendant.	(Docket No. 38)
13		/
14		
15		
16	Plaintiff has moved to compel the production of documents from Defendant. Based on the	
17	parties' papers, it appears that only two categories of documents are at issue: (1) documents relating	
18	to the November 2007 training incident and (2) documents related to Defendant's personnel records.	
19	The Court defers ruling on the first category of documents. Defendant is ordered to file	
20	under seal a copy of the documents at issue so that the Court may conduct an in camera review.	
21	Defendant shall not serve a copy of the documents on Plaintiff, and Plaintiff shall not be permitted to	
22	view a copy of the documents filed under seal absent further order of the Court. Defendant shall file	
23	a copy of the documents by July 6, 2009.	
24	As to the second category of documents, Plaintiff indicated that he was willing to narrow the	
25 26	scope of the request. The Court holds that, with respect to the personnel records (including but not	
26 27	limited to evaluations and complaints), Defendant need only produce documents concerning: (1) an	
27 29	alleged use of violent force, (2) an allegedly unreasonable seizure or arrest, (3) alleged	
28	untruthfulness, (4) an alleged failure to repo	rt, or (3) an aneged neglect of duty.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion to compel is granted in part and denied in part and the Court defers ruling on the remainder of the motion pending in camera review. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 6, 2009 EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge