1

2

3

4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5

6

7

8

LEONARD PICKMAN,

SOCIAL SECURITY,

Plaintiff,

v.

9 10

11

12

13 14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

MICHAEL ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER OF

Defendant.

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER REGARDING E-FILING AND SETTING DEADLINE FOR

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY

No. C 08-02762 CW

On November 11, 2008, pro se Plaintiff Leonard Pickman moved to be allowed to e-file. On November 21, 2008, the Court issued an order taking the motion under submission, explaining that, in order to qualify for e-filing, Plaintiff had to file a declaration stating that he has reviewed General Order 45 and the requirements for e-filing and that he is able to comply with all the requirements for e-filing. On December 1, 2008, Plaintiff filed a declaration stating that he reviewed General Order 45 and that he meets all the requirements for e-filing. On December 5, 2008, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion to e-file. On December 15, 2008, Plaintiff filed his motion for summary judgment. On January 13, 2009, Defendants e-filed their opposition. On February 11, 2009, Plaintiff filed a document titled, "Lack of Service of Defendant Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment," in which he states that he was not served with Defendant's opposition and, thus, he could not file his reply. On February 12, 2009, Erik Chinn, counsel for

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant, filed a declaration stating that, when he learned that Plaintiff was not served with Defendant's memorandum through the ECF system, he served Plaintiff with the memorandum by first class mail.

General Order 45 explains the duties and responsibilities of a party that is designated as an Electronic Case File (ECF) user, as Plaintiff requested that he be designated. However, Plaintiff has not complied with General Order 45 because he has not registered to become an ECF user and apparently is not aware that after a case is designated for e-filing, service is accomplished through the efiling of a document.

Therefore, Plaintiff is to re-read General Order 45. determines that he cannot comply with its requirements, he must submit a declaration so indicating and the case will be designated as a non-e-filing case. Plaintiff must file this declaration within one week from the date of this order.

If Plaintiff does not file a declaration, he must comply with General Order 45 and register as an ECF user so that he may be assigned a user ID and password for access to the ECF system.

In any event, Plaintiff's reply must be filed with the Court, either electronically or manually, within three weeks from the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/24/09

CLAUDIA WILKEN united States District Judge

Cardialvillan

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	LEONARD PICKMAN, Case Number: CV08-02762 CW
5	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
6	V.
7	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY et al,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
11	That on February 24, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
13	located in the Clerk's office.
14	
15	Leonard Pickman
16	963 Diaz Lane Foster City, CA 94404
17	
18	Dated: February 24, 2009 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
19	By: Sheilah Cahill, Deputy Clerk
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	