2002/003

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIA COZZI; et al.	CARROLL CONTROL TO
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 08 3633 PJH
, teintittoy,	
V. COUNTY OF MARIN; et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 1	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ing ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (AD Farly Neutral Evaluation (EN Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	R L.R. 4) E) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably more likely to meet their needs t	lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify)	process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by the presumptive deadline (The referring the case to an ADR	y: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: 18/17/68	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 10/17/08	Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
 Mediation
 Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/20/08

