

1 TIMOTHY T. SCOTT (SBN 126971/tscott@kslaw.com)
 2 GEOFFREY M. EZGAR (SBN 184243/ gezgar@kslaw.com)
 3 LEO SPOONER III (SBN 241541/lspooner@kslaw.com)
 4 KING & SPALDING LLP
 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 400
 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 Telephone: (650) 590-0700
 Facsimile: (650) 590-1900

5 SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*pro hac vice*/sweingaertner@kslaw.com)
 6 ROBERT F. PERRY (rperry@kslaw.com)
 7 ALLISON ALTERSOHN (*pro hac vice*/aaltersohn@kslaw.com)
 8 SUSAN KIM (*pro hac vice*/skim@kslaw.com)
 9 DANIEL MILLER (*pro hac vice*/dmiller@kslaw.com)
 King & Spalding LLP
 1185 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10036-4003
 Telephone: (212) 556-2100
 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

10
 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 GOOGLE INC.

12
 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 15 OAKLAND DIVISION

16 GOOGLE INC.
 17
 18 Plaintiff,
 19 v.
 20 NETLIST, INC.,
 21 Defendant.

Civil Action No. C08 04144 SBA
 [Related to Civil Action No. C09-05718 SBA]

**GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION TO FILE GOOGLE INC.'S
 OPPOSITION TO NETLIST, INC.'S
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
 ON GOOGLE'S AFFIRMATIVE
 DEFENSES BASED ON NETLIST'S
 JEDEC ACTIVITIES AND RELATED
 EXHIBITS UNDER SEAL**

Date: July 27, 2010
 Time: 1:00pm
 Place: Courtroom 3
 Judge: Hon. Sandra Brown Armstrong

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

1
2 Pursuant to General Order No. 62 and Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff and
3 Counterdefendant Google Inc. hereby requests that the Court file *Google Inc.’s Opposition to*
4 *Netlist, Inc.’s Motion for Summary Judgment on Google’s Affirmative Defenses Based on*
5 *Netlist’s JEDEC Activities* (“Google’s Opposition”) and Exhibits A, D, F-K, M-P, R-AA, and
6 DD-FF to the Declaration of Scott T. Weingaertner (“Weingaertner Decl.”) in support thereof
7 under seal. This request is based on this administrative motion, the Weingaertner Decl. in support
8 thereof, and such oral argument as the Court may entertain. As required by Civil Local Rules 79-
9 5(b)-(d) and General Order No. 62, the following papers are also being lodged with the Court: (1)
10 a Chamber’s copy of the Google’s Opposition, and (2) a Chamber’s copy of the Weingaertner
11 Decl. and Exhibits thereto.
12

13 Paragraph 26 of the Court’s Second Amended Protective Order, dated March 5, 2010
14 (Docket No. 108), requires the parties to file under seal all “transcripts of deposition, exhibits,
15 answers to interrogatories, pleadings, briefs, and other documents submitted to the Court that
16 have been designated as Protected Information or which contain information so designated.”
17 Google’s Opposition includes information designated by Google, Netlist, and third parties NEC
18 and IDT as Protected Information under the terms of the Second Amended Protective Order. The
19 information includes excerpts of exhibits and deposition transcripts that have been so designated.
20 Therefore, Google is obligated to file its Google’s Opposition and Exhibits A, D, F-K, M-P, R-
21 AA, and DD-FF to the Declaration of Scott T. Weingaertner in support thereof under seal.
22 Google respectfully requests that the Court grant its application to file the foregoing documents
23 under seal. Pursuant to the Court’s Scheduling Order, the parties met and conferred regarding
24 this motion.
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED: June 25, 2010

KING & SPALDING LLP

By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Ezgar
Geoffrey M. Ezgar

Attorneys for Plaintiff
GOOGLE INC.