

1 PRUETZ LAW GROUP LLP
 2 Adrian M. Pruetz (Bar No. CA 118215/ampruetz@pruetzlaw.com)
 3 Erica J. Pruetz (Bar No. CA 227712/ejpruetz@pruetzlaw.com)
 4 200 N. Sepulveda Blvd. Suite 1525
 5 El Segundo, CA 90245
 6 Telephone: (310) 765-7650
 7 Facsimile: (310) 765-7641

8 LEE TRAN & LIANG APLC
 9 Enoch H. Liang (Bar No. CA 212324/ehl@ltlcounsel.com)
 10 Steven R. Hansen (Bar No. CA 198401/srh@ltlcounsel.com)
 11 Edward S. Quon (Bar No. 214197/eq@ltlcounsel.com)
 12 601 S. Figueroa St., Suite 4025
 13 Los Angeles, CA 90017
 14 Telephone: (213) 612-3737
 15 Facsimile: (213) 612-3773

16 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
 17 NETLIST, INC.

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 20 OAKLAND DIVISION

21 GOOGLE, INC.,

22 Plaintiff,

23 vs.

24 NETLIST, INC.,

25 Defendant.

26 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

CASE NO. C-08-04144 SBA

[Related to CASE NO. C-09-05718 SBA]

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER RE
 FILING NETLIST, INC.'S REPLY IN
 SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF
 INFRINGEMENT AND IN OPPOSITION
 TO GOOGLE'S MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-
 INFRINGEMENT AND RELATED
 EXHIBITS UNDER SEAL**

Date: July 27, 2010

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Place: Courtroom 3

Judge: Hon. Sandra Brown Armstrong

1 Paragraph 26 of the Court's Second Amended Protective Order, dated March 5, 2010
2 (Docket No. 108) requires the parties to file under seal all "transcripts of depositions, exhibits,
3 answers to interrogatories, pleadings, briefs, and other documents submitted to the Court that
4 have been designated as Protected Information or which contain information so designated."
5 Netlist, Inc.'s Reply in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Infringement and in
6 Opposition to Google's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement ("Netlist's Reply
7 Brief") includes information designated by Google, Inc. and third parties IDT and NEC as
8 Protected Information under the terms of the Second Amended Protective Order. The
9 information includes excerpts of exhibits and deposition transcripts that have been so designated.
10 Therefore, Netlist is obligated to file its Reply Brief and Exhibits 1 and 5 to the Declaration of
11 Steven R. Hansen in support thereof ("Hansen Reply Dec.") under seal.

12 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES**, by and through their
13 counsel of record, that Netlist's Reply Brief and Exhibits 1 and 5 to the Declaration of Steven R.
14 Hansen in support thereof be filed under seal.

15 Dated: July 6, 2010

LEE TRAN & LIANG, APLC

17 By: /s/ Steven R. Hansen

18 Steven R. Hansen

19 *Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant*
NETLIST, INC.

20 Dated: July 6, 2010

LEE TRAN & LIANG, APLC

21 By: /s/ Robert F. Perry

22 Robert F. Perry

23 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant*
GOOGLE, INC.

24 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: 7/8/10

26 
Hon. Sandra B. Armstrong

27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF CONSENT

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Robert F. Perry.

Dated: July 6, 2010

LEE TRAN & LIANG, APLC

By: /s/ Steven R. Hansen

Steven R. Hansen
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
NETLIST, INC.