1 2	PRUETZ LAW GROUP LLP Adrian M. Pruetz (Bar No. CA 118215) E-mail: ampruetz@pruetzlaw.com	
3	200 N. Sepulveda Blvd. Suite 1525 El Segundo, CA 90245 Telephone: (310) 765-7650 Facsimile: (310) 765-7641  LEE TRAN & LIANG APLC Enoch H. Liang (Bar No. CA 212324) E-mail: ehl@ltlcounsel.com Steven R. Hansen (Bar No. CA 198401) E-mail: srh@ltlcounsel.com Edward S. Quon (Bar No. 214197) E-mail: eq@ltlcounsel.com 601 S. Figueroa St., Suite 4025	
4		
5		
6 7. 8 9		
10 11		
12 13	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant NETLIST, INC.	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	OAKLAND DIVISION	
17		
18	GOOGLE, INC.,	CASE NO. C-08-04144 SBA
19	Plaintiff,	[Related to CASE NO. C-09-05718 SBA]
20	vs.	DECLARATION OF STEVEN R. HANSEN
21	NETLIST, INC.,	IS SUPPORT OF NETLIST, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
22	Defendant.	OF PATENT INFRINGEMENT
23 24		Date: July 27, 2010 Time: 1:00 p.m. Place: Courtroom 3 Judge: Hon. Saundra Brown Armstrong
25 26	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	
27 28		
- 11		

HANSEN DECLARATION ISO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - C-08-04144 SBA

## I, Steven R. Hansen, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the states of California and Michigan and before this Court. I am of counsel to Lee Tran & Liang APLC, counsel of record for Defendant Netlist, Inc. ("Netlist"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto under oath.
- 2. The parties met and conferred before the filing of the Motion for Summary Judgment of Patent Infringement as required by the Court's standing order.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 7,289,386 (the "'386 Patent"), entitled "Memory Module Decoder."
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of Amended Exhibit A to Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, Docket No. 72-1 dated October 28, 2009.
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit C are true and correct copies of articles about Google's servers.
- 6. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition transcript of Robert Sprinkle taken February 18, 2010 ("Sprinkle Dep.").
- 7. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition transcript of Richard Roy taken October 29, 2009 ("Roy Dep.").
- 8. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition transcript of Andrew Dorsey taken March 11, 2010 ("Dorsey Dep.").

- 9. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Google showing the number of 4-Rank FBDIMMS in Google's Ilium servers, which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 89 ("Def. Ex. 89").
- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff Google Inc.'s First Supplemental Responses to Netlist's Request for Admissions Set No. One [Nos. 1-26] dated March 30, 2010 ("Pl.'s First Suppl. Resp. to Def.'s Req. for Admis.").
- 11. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of a block diagram of Google's 4-Rank FBDIMM, which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 9 ("Block Diagram I").
- 12. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of a block diagram of Google's 4-Rank FBDIMM attached to an email sent by Google employee Robert Sprinkle, which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 73 ("Block Diagram II").
- 13. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of excerpts of a document produced by Google showing the components used in its 4-Rank FBDIMMs, which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 90 ("Def. Ex. 90").
- 14. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition transcript of William Hoffman taken May 18, 2010 ("Hoffman Dep.").
- 15. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit M is a true and correct copy of a photograph taken during Defendant's inspection of a Google server showing a portion of a 4-Rank FBDIMM found in the server. The photograph was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 5 ("Def. Ex. 5").

- 16. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit N is a true and correct copy of a photograph taken during Defendant's inspection of Google's server showing a portion of a 4-Rank FBDIMM found in the server. The photograph was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 7 ("Def. Ex. 7").
- 17. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit O is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition transcript of Roland Knaack taken March 23, 2010 ("Knaack Dep.").
- 18. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit P is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition transcript of Sang Shim taken March 24, 2010 ("Shim Dep.").
- 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit Q is a true and correct copy of excerpts of JEDEC Standard JESD 206 entitled "FBDIMM: Architecture and Protocol, which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 10 ("JESD 206").
- 20. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit R is a true and correct copy of excerpts of JEDEC Standard JESD 206 entitled "FBDIMM: Architecture and Protocol attached to an email sent by Google employee Robert Sprinkle, which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 75 ("JESD 206").
- 21. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit S is a true and correct copy of excerpts of an IDT User Manual for its Advanced Memory Buffer, which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 114 ("IDT User Manual").
- 22. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit T is a true and correct copy of excerpts of a NEC User Manual for its Advanced Memory Buffer, which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 118 ("NEC User Manual").
- 23. Attached hereto as Exhibit U is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff Google Inc.'s Responses to Netlist's Interrogatories, Set No. Two [Nos. 6-9] dated October 27, 2009 ("Pl.'s Resp. to Def.'s Interrogs.").

- 24. Filed concurrently under seal as Exhibit V is a true and correct copy of a photograph taken during Defendant's inspection of Google's server showing the server with 4-Rank FBDIMMS installed. The photograph was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 4 ("Def. Ex. 4").
- 25. Attached hereto as Exhibit W is a true and correct copy of the Court's November 12, 2009 order regarding claim construction, Docket No. 79 ("Claim Construction Order").
- 26. Attached hereto as Exhibit X is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff Google Inc.'s Responses to Netlist's Request for Admissions Set No. One [Nos. 1-26] dated October 27, 2009 ("Pl.'s Resp. to Def.'s Req. for Admis.").
- 27. Attached hereto as Exhibit Y is a true and correct copy of excerpts of JEDEC Standard JESD 82-20A entitled "FBDIMM Advanced Memory Buffer (AMB)" which was marked as Defendant's deposition exhibit 11 ("JESD 82-20A").

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 1st day of June, 2010 at Waterford, Michigan.

/s/ Steven R. Hansen

Steven R. Hansen