Google Inc. v. Netlist, Inc.

Doc. 176

WHEREAS, during the Case Management Conference held on November 12, 2009, the Court ordered that this case be referred to a Magistrate Judge for a mandatory settlement conference to be held in August 2010 (D.I. 78);

WHEREAS, on November 13, 2009, the Court referred this case to Chief Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James for settlement. A mandatory settlement conference was scheduled to take place before Judge James on August 3, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. in Judge James' Chambers, located at the Federal Building, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 15th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102 (D.I. 80);

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2010 the Court issued an Order Denying Joint Motion to Consolidate (D.I. 95), wherein the Court required the parties to file a joint statement and proposed order specifying whether they preferred to have both Google Inc. v. Netlist, Inc. Case No. 08-4144, and Netlist, Inc. v. Google Inc., Case No. 09-5718 ("the Cases") referred to a Magistrate Judge for an early settlement conference or proceed before a private mediator;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Google Inc. ("Google") and Defendant Netlist, Inc. ("Netlist") met and conferred on the issue and filed a notice on March 2, 2010 indicating their election to have the Cases referred to Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte for an early settlement conference (D.I. 107);

WHEREAS, on March 8, 2010, the Court referred the Cases to Magistrate Judge Laporte for settlement. A settlement conference was scheduled to take place before Judge Laporte on April 30, 2010 at 9:30 a.m. (D.I. 107) and in fact the conference went forward on that date but the Cases did not settle;

WHEREAS, in view of the Court's referral of the Cases to Magistrate Judge Laporte for settlement, and in further view of the settlement conference that already took place before Judge Laporte on April 30, 2010, the parties agree that the mandatory settlement conference scheduled for August 3, 2010 before Chief Magistrate Judge James should be canceled;

WHEREAS, the parties are not aware of the Court terminating the deadline for the August 3, 2010 mandatory settlement conference before Chief Magistrate Judge James and seek such an Order from the Court;

WHEREAS, the parties met and conferred on this issue;

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:	
2	2 1. The mandatory settlement conference scheduled for August 3, 2010	before Chief
3	3 Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James shall not take place.	
4	4	
5		
6	Dated: July 21, 2010 KING & SPALDING LLP	
7		
8	8 By: <u>/s/ Geoffrey M. Ezgar</u> GEOFFREY M. EZGAR	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff GOOGLE INC.	
10	10 GOOGLE INC.	
11	11	
12	12	
13	Dated: July 21, 2010 LEE, TRAN & LIANG APLC	
14	14	
15	By: /s/ Steven R. Hansen Steven R. Hansen	
16	16	
17	Attorneys for Defendant NETLIST, INC.	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	
25	25	
26		
27	27	
28	28	

1	<u>DECLARATION OF CONSENT</u>	
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under	
3	penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Steven	
4	R. Hansen.	
5		
6	Dated: July 21, 2010 KING & SPALDING LLP	
7		
8	By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Ezgar GEOFFREY M. EZGAR	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	GOOGLE INC.	
11		
12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: July, 2010	
14	Saundra Brown Armstrong United States District Judge	
15	omea states Bistrict raage	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
$\begin{vmatrix} 22 \\ 22 \end{vmatrix}$		
23		
24		
25 26		
²⁶ 27		
41		

28