Google Inc. v. Netlist, Inc.

Doc. 37

- a. unplug the power lines running to the server and to the memory modules, connect those power lines into an oscilloscope or voltmeter, and plug the output from the scope or voltmeter into the server or memory module;
- b. measure the voltage and/or current consumed by the FBDIMM produced by Google while the server operates in Mode C, and also while the server operates with Mode C deactivated:
  - c. disconnect the FBDIMM produced by Google from the server;
- d. as above, measure the voltage and/or current consumed in Mode C and non-Mode C by commercially available FBDIMMs to be provided by Netlist; to do this, Netlist will disconnect the Google FBDIMM from the slot in which it is installed and install into the server commercially available FBDIMMs having each of the following configurations (rank/bit width): 2-rank/x4, 2-rank/x8, 4-rank/x4, 4-rank/x8; and
  - e. return the server and FBDIMM to their original condition.
- 6. Monitor thermal characteristics of the server while operating in Mode C and non-Mode C using an infrared thermometer; during this monitoring, Netlist will run a computationally intensive program (which Google may propose) to exercise the CPU and memory;
- 7. Photograph the server and the memory modules, including the memory controller hub and connections to the FBDIMMs, subject to the following conditions:
- a. the maximum number of photographs taken will be twenty (20), excluding those not retained because of poor quality;
- b. the photographs shall be designated Confidential Attorneys Eyes Only under the Protective Order;
- c. the Protective Order will be amended to include a provision specific to photographs similar to the current provisions applicable to source code and limiting to ten (10) the

total number of Netlist representatives who will be permitted to view or possess copies of the photographs;

d. Netlist will maintain a log identifying the chain of custody for each photograph taken, including identifying where each photograph is stored, any individuals to whom it is transmitted, the date(s) of any such transmissions, and where each transmitted photograph is stored (e.g., personal computer of a named individual, directory on a shared server, etc.).

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by and between Netlist and Google, through their counsel of record, as follows:

- 1. The server provided by Google will be functionally representative of servers using the allegedly infringing 4-rank FBDIMM memory modules in Google's data centers, in that it will allow Netlist to operate the allegedly infringing 4-rank FBDIMM memory module in a manner functionally representative of the memory module as used in servers in Google's data centers.
- 2. The server will have a command line interface allowing Netlist to read and write to the memory registers and verify the system memory available.
- 3. The inspection will take place at the offices of Fish & Richardson P.C., at 500 Arguello St., Suite 500, Redwood City, California 94063. Two Google representatives must be present at the inspection, including a legal representative and a technical representative, and the inspection will be videotaped. Netlist will be provided with a private break-out room.
- 4. Netlist will not conduct any inspection that poses, in part or in whole, a reasonable likelihood of damaging Google's server or FBDIMM.
- 5. Netlist will provide model numbers of the commercially available FBDIMMs it intends to use in its inspection to Google as soon as possible, and no later than five (5) business days before the inspection.
- 6. In the event that technical information currently unknown to the parties renders the protocol detailed above technically unfeasible or unreasonably difficult, the parties agree to meet and confer in order to amend this agreement to appropriately address such difficulties.

1	7. The protocol detailed above delineates the outer limits of Netlist's permissible		
2	inspection of Google's server.		
3	Dated: June 24, 2009	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
4	Dated. Julie 24, 2009	MORRISON & POERSTER LLP	
5		D //D 1	
6		By: <u>/s/ Daniel A. Zlatnik</u> DANIEL A. ZLATNIK	
7		Attorneys for Defendant	
8		NETLIST, INC.	
9	Dated: June 24, 2009	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	
10			
11		By: /s/ Christina D. Jordan CHRISTINA D. JORDAN	
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13		GOOGĹE INC.	
14			
15	DE	CLARATION OF CONSENT	
15 16		CLARATION OF CONSENT  No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under	
	Pursuant to General Order I		
16	Pursuant to General Order I	No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under	
16 17	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence	No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under	
16 17 18	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence	No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under	
16 17 18 19	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence A. Zlatnik.	No. 45, Section $X(B)$ regarding signatures, I attest under in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel	
16 17 18 19 20	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence A. Zlatnik.	No. 45, Section $X(B)$ regarding signatures, I attest under in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence A. Zlatnik.	No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel  FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  By: /s/ Christina D. Jordan Christina D. Jordan Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence A. Zlatnik.	No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel  FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  By: /s/ Christina D. Jordan Christina D. Jordan	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence A. Zlatnik.  Dated: June 24, 2009	No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel  FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  By: /s/ Christina D. Jordan Christina D. Jordan Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence A. Zlatnik.  Dated: June 24, 2009	No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel  FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  By: /s/ Christina D. Jordan Christina D. Jordan Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Pursuant to General Order I penalty of perjury that concurrence A. Zlatnik.  Dated: June 24, 2009	No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel  FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  By: /s/ Christina D. Jordan Christina D. Jordan Attorneys for Plaintiff	

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2		
3	Dated: June, 2009	
4		
5		HONORABLE JOSEPH C. SPERO
6		United States Magistrate Judge
7	50659305.DOC	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		6