1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S LETTER OF

KAMLESH BANGA, No. C-08-4147 SBA (EMC)

Plaintiff,

JUNE 18, 2009 v. (**Docket No. 100**)

EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS. et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Kamlesh Banga, proceeding pro se, has submitted a letter to the Court, dated June 18, 2009, in which she claims that Defendant Experian Information Solutions has improperly destroyed evidence relevant to the litigation. Ms. Banga asks the Court "to set a pre-motion conference regarding [the] discovery dispute and allow [her] to file a Motion for Sanctions against Experian for Evidence Spoliation on the short notice." Letter at 2.

The Court does not see the necessity of having a pre-motion conference. Nor does the Court see the necessity of having the matter heard on shortened time. Even though the fact discovery cutoff is June 26, 2009, the issue here is not whether Experian is withholding documents; rather, the issue is whether Experian has improperly destroyed evidence and, if so, what remedy (if any) should be available to Ms. Banga. This issue can be addressed well before the trial in the case, set for November 2009.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **DENIES** Ms. Banga's request for a pre-motion conference and shortened time. The Court shall give Ms. Banga until June 24, 2009, to file and serve a motion for sanctions based on the alleged spoliation. In the motion, Ms. Banga shall provide any and all evidence supporting her contention that there has been spoliation of evidence; in addition, she shall specify what sanction she seeks for the alleged spoliation. Experian shall have until July 8, 2009, to file an opposition, and then Ms. Banga shall have until July 15, 2009, to file a reply. A hearing on the motion shall be held on July 29, 2009, at 3:00 p.m.

As a final point, the Court notes for Ms. Banga that, in the future, should she ask for any relief from the Court and makes that request in writing, then that writing must be *filed* with the Clerk of the Court (as well as served on Defendants). It is not sufficient to fax the writing to the chambers of the undersigned.

This order disposes of Docket No. 100.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 19, 2009

EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	KAMLESH BANGA,	No. C-08-4147 SBA (EMC)
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
11	EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS,	S,
12	et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14	/	
15		
16	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the U.S. District Court, Northern	
17	District of California. On the below date, I served a true and correct copy of the attached, by placing	
18	said copy/copies in a postage-paid envelope addressed to the person(s) listed below, by depositing	
19	said envelope in the U.S. Mail; or by placing said copy/copies into an inter-office delivery	
20	receptacle located in the Office of the Clerk.	
21	KAMLESH BANGA	ALL OTHER COUNSEL SERVED VIA
22		ELECTRONIC FILING ("E-FILING")
23	(707) 342-1692	
24	Dated: June 19, 2009	RICHARD W. WIEKING, CLERK
25		
26		By: /s/
27		Leni Doyle Deputy Clerk
28		