

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REGINALD T. LEWIS,	)	No. C 08-3619 SBA (PR)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b><u>ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE</u></b>
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	)	
CORRECTIONS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

REGINALD T. LEWIS,	)	No. C 08-4363 SBA (PR)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b><u>ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE</u></b>
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	)	
CORRECTIONS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

Plaintiff, a state prisoner and frequent litigant in this Court, has filed two pro se civil rights complaints pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He also seeks to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 in each case.

The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PLRA) was enacted, and became effective, on April 26, 1996. It provides that a prisoner may not bring a civil action in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 "if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

For purposes of a dismissal that may be counted under § 1915(g), the phrase "fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted" parallels the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and carries the same interpretation, the word "frivolous" refers to a case that is "of little weight or importance: having no basis in law or fact," and the word "malicious" refers to a case "filed

1 with the 'intention or desire to harm another.'" Andrews v. King, 398 F.3d 1113, 1121 (9th Cir.  
2 2005) (citation omitted). Only cases within one of these three categories can be counted as strikes  
3 for § 1915(g) purposes. See id. Dismissal of an action under § 1915(g) should only occur when,  
4 "after careful evaluation of the order dismissing an [earlier] action, and other relevant information,  
5 the district court determines that the action was dismissed because it was frivolous, malicious or  
6 failed to state a claim." Id.

7 Andrews requires that the prisoner be given notice of the potential applicability of § 1915(g),  
8 by either the district court or the defendants, but also requires the prisoner to bear the ultimate burden  
9 of persuasion that § 1915(g) does not bar pauper status for him. Id. Andrews implicitly allows the  
10 Court to raise the § 1915(g) problem sua sponte, but requires the Court to notify the prisoner of the  
11 earlier dismissals it considers to support a § 1915(g) dismissal and allow the prisoner an opportunity  
12 to be heard on the matter before dismissing the action. See id. at 1120. A dismissal under § 1915(g)  
13 means that a prisoner cannot proceed with his action as a pauper under § 1915(g), but he still may  
14 pursue his claims if he pays the full filing fee at the outset of the action.

15 A review of the dismissal orders in Plaintiff's prior prisoner actions in this Court reveals that  
16 Plaintiff has had at least three such cases dismissed on the ground that they were frivolous,  
17 malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiff is now given notice  
18 that the Court believes the following dismissals may be counted as dismissals for purposes of  
19 § 1915(g): (1) Lewis v. Santa Clara Bd. of Supervisors, No. C 01-2485 SBA (PR) (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17,  
20 2006) (civil rights action dismissed for failure to state a claim); (2) Lewis v. Santa Clara Bd. of  
21 Supervisors, No. C 02-3373 SBA (PR) (N.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2002) (civil rights complaint dismissed as  
22 duplicative); and (3) Lewis v. CDC, No. C 02-3499 SBA (PR) (N.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2002) (same).  
23 Plaintiff therefore may proceed in forma pauperis only if he is seeking relief from a danger of serious  
24 physical injury which is "imminent" at the time of filing. See Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie, 239 F.3d  
25 307, 312 (3d Cir. 2001) (en banc); Medberry v. Butler, 185 F.3d 1189, 1192-93 (11th Cir. 1999);  
26 Ashley v. Dilworth, 147 F.3d 715, 717 (8th Cir. 1998); Banos v. O'Guin, 144 F.3d 883, 885 (5th Cir.  
27 1998). He is not.

28 In light of these dismissals, and because Plaintiff does not appear to be under imminent

1 danger of serious physical injury, he is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing no later than  
2 **thirty (30) days** from the date of this Order why in forma pauperis should not be denied and this  
3 action should not be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). If Plaintiff is so inclined, he may  
4 avoid dismissal by paying the \$350.00 filing fee. In any event, the Court will continue to review  
5 under § 1915(g) all future actions filed by Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in which he seeks in  
6 forma pauperis status.

7 **Failure to file a timely response or failure to pay the full filing fee in each case will result**  
8 **in the dismissal of both actions without further notice to Plaintiff.**

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: 10/20/08

  
SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG  
United States District Judge

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
4

5  
6 REGINALD T. LEWIS,  
7 Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV08-03619 SBA  
**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

8 v.

9 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
10 CORRECTIONS et al,  
11 Defendant.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

12 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District  
13 Court, Northern District of California.

14 That on October 22, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said  
15 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said  
16 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located  
17 in the Clerk's office.

18 Reginald T. Lewis P95159  
19 California State Prison - San Quentin  
20 San Quentin, CA 94964

21 Dated: October 22, 2008

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk