

1 Brian E. Claypool (SBN 134674)  
The Claypool Law Firm  
2 1055 E. Colorado Blvd, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Pasadena, CA 91106  
3 Tel: (626) 240-4616  
Fax: (626) 240-4617  
4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

5 Vicki I. Sarmiento, SBN 134047  
333 N. Garfield Avenue  
6 Alhambra, California 91801  
Tel: (626) 308-1171  
7 Fax: (626) 308-1101  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

8 Dale K. Galipo, SBN 144074  
9 Law Offices of Dale K. Galipo  
21800 Burbank Blvd., Suite 310  
10 Woodland Hills, California 91367  
11 [dalekgalipo@yahoo.com](mailto:dalekgalipo@yahoo.com)  
Tel: (818) 347-3333  
12 Fax: (818) 347-4118

13 Nancy K. Delaney, SBN 70617  
Nicholas R. Kloeppe, SBN 186165  
14 MITCHELL, BRISSE, DELANEY & VRIEZE  
Attorneys at Law  
15 814 Seventh Street  
P. O. Drawer 1008  
16 Eureka, CA 95502  
Tel: (707) 443-5643  
17 Fax: (707) 444-9586  
Attorneys for CITY OF EUREKA Defendants

18 William R. Bragg  
19 BRAGG, PERLMAN, RUSS, STUNICH,  
RUDOLPH & EADS, LLP  
20 Attorneys at Law  
1036 – 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite E  
21 P.O. Box 1248  
Eureka, CA 95502  
22 Tel: (707) 442-2927  
Fax: (707) 443-2747  
23 Attorneys for COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT Defendants

24  
25  
26

MITCHELL, BRISSE,  
DELANEY & VRIEZE  
814 Seventh Street  
P.O. Drawer 1008  
Eureka, CA 95502

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SIEHNA M. COTTON, a minor, by  
Fungula Fumu Ngondji, her guardian ad  
litem; and MARTIN COTTON, SR., an  
individual,

CASE NO.: C 08-04386 SBA

JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
EXPERT DISCOVERY CUT-OFF

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF EUREKA, CALIFORNIA, a  
political subdivision of the State of  
California; COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT,  
CALIFORNIA, a political subdivision of  
the State of California, et al.,

Defendants.

---

Plaintiffs have disclosed retained experts Roger Clark and Harry J. Bonnell, M.D.  
Defendants timely noticed the depositions of same for June 9, 2010, however, said  
experts were not available on that date.

The experts are not available on the same date until June 18, 2010, a date that  
defense counsel is not available but defense counsel and the witnesses are available on  
June 21, 2010, nine days after the expert discovery cut-off.

Other dates of availability within the discovery cut-off would require defense  
counsel to travel to Los Angeles, California, on two separate occasions or would require  
the witnesses to travel to the Bay Area, incurring additional and avoidable expenses for  
the parties.

Based on the foregoing, the parties, through their attorneys, agree that the expert  
discovery cut-off for purposes of completing the depositions of plaintiffs' experts may be  
extended to **June 21, 2010**.

///

///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

DATED: May 25, 2010

THE CLAYPOOL LAW FIRM  
LAW OFFICES OF VICKI I. SARMIENTO  
LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO

By:  /s/   
Vicki I. Sarmiento  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DATED: May 25, 2010

BRAGG, PERLMAN, RUSS, STUNICH,  
RUDOLPH & EADS, LLP

By:  /s/   
William R. Bragg  
Attorneys for County of Humboldt Defendants

DATED: May 25, 2010

MITCHELL, BRISSO, DELANEY & VRIEZE

By:  /s/   
Nancy K. Delaney  
Attorneys for City of Eureka Defendants

\* \* \* \* \*

**ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:  5/27/10

*Sandra B. Armstrong*   
Honorable Sandra B. Armstrong  
United States District Court