Yliz v. Hedgpeth	11
------------------	----

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	GILBERT DANIEL YLIZ,
8	Petitioner, No. C 08-4419 PJH (PR)
9	vs. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	ANTHONY HEDGPETH, Warden,
11	Respondent.
12	
13	Petitioner, a California prisoner currently incarcerated at Kern Valley State Prison,
14	has filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has
15	paid the filing fee.
16	Venue is proper because the conviction was obtained in Santa Cruz County, which
17	is in this district. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).
18	DISCUSSION
19	A. Standard of Review
20	This court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in
21	custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody
22	in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. §
23	2254(a); Rose v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975). Habeas corpus petitions must meet
24	heightened pleading requirements. McFarland v. Scott, 512 U.S. 849, 856 (1994). An
25	application for a federal writ of habeas corpus filed by a prisoner who is in state custody
26	pursuant to a judgment of a state court must "specify all the grounds for relief which are
27	available to the petitioner and shall set forth in summary form the facts supporting each
28	of the grounds thus specified." Rule 2(c) of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, 28 U.S.C.

foll. § 2254. "'[N]otice' pleading is not sufficient, for the petition is expected to state facts
 that point to a 'real possibility of constitutional error." Rule 4 Advisory Committee Notes
 (quoting *Aubut v. Maine*, 431 F.2d 688, 689 (1st Cir. 1970). "Habeas petitions which
 appear on their face to be legally insufficient are subject to summary dismissal." *Calderon v. United States Dist. Court (Nicolaus)*, 98 F.3d 1102, 1108 (9th Cir. 1996) (Schroeder, J.,
 concurring).

B. Legal Claims

7

16

17

8 Defendant was convicted by jury trial of three counts of forcible sexual penetration,
9 see Cal. Penal Code § 289(a)(1); one count of forcible oral copulation, see Cal. Penal Code
10 § 288a(c)(2); and one count of misdemeanor trespassing, see Cal. Penal Code
11 § 602.5. The trial court committed him to state prison for a term of twenty-four years.

As grounds for federal habeas relief, petitioner asserts that his Sixth and Fourteenth
Amendment right to put on a defense was violated when the trial court would not allow him
to introduce inconsistent out-of-court statements by the victim. This claim is sufficient to
require a response.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

The clerk shall serve by regular mail a copy of this order and the petition and all
 attachments thereto on respondent and respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the
 State of California. The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on petitioner.

2. Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within sixty days of
 the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules
 Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be
 granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on petitioner a copy of all
 portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant
 to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

27 If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with28 the court and serving it on respondent within thirty days of his receipt of the answer.

3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an
 answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing
 Section 2254 Cases. If respondent files such a motion, petitioner shall file with the court
 and serve on respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within thirty days of
 receipt of the motion, and respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner a reply
 within fifteen days of receipt of any opposition.

4. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the court must be served on
respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to respondent's counsel. Petitioner
must keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's
orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for
failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See Martinez v.
Johnson, 104 F.3d 769, 772 (5th Cir. 1997) (Rule 41(b) applicable in habeas cases).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 26, 2008.

G:\PRO-SE\PJH\HC.08\YLIZ4419.OSC.wpd

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge