

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL D. PIERCE,

No. C 08-04446 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**

v.

DIRECTOR OF CORRECTIONS AND
REHABILITATIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff requests the appointment of counsel to represent him in this action.

There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. See Lassiter v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981); Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) (no constitutional right to counsel in § 1983 action), withdrawn in part on other grounds on reh'g en banc, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The court may ask counsel to represent an indigent litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in "exceptional circumstances," the determination of which requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See id. at 1525; Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel under § 1915. See id.

1 The Court is unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which
2 would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a pro bono appointment. The proceedings are at
3 an early stage and it is premature for the Court to determine Plaintiff's likelihood of success on the
4 merits. Moreover, Plaintiff has been able to articulate his claims adequately pro se in light of the
5 complexity of the issues involved. See Agyeman v. Corrs. Corp. of Am., 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th
6 Cir. 2004). Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel at this time is DENIED. This does
7 not mean, however, that the Court will not consider appointment of counsel at a later juncture in the
8 proceedings, that is, after Defendants have filed their dispositive motion and the Court has a better
9 understanding of the procedural and substantive matters at issue. Therefore, Plaintiff may file a
10 renewed motion for the appointment of counsel after Defendants' dispositive motion has been filed.
11 If the Court decides that appointment of counsel is warranted at that time, then it can seek volunteer
12 counsel to agree to represent Plaintiff pro bono.

13 This Order terminates Docket no. 3.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: 10/27/08


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 MICHAEL D. PIERCE,
5 Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV08-04446 SBA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

6 v.

7 DIRECTOR OF CORRECTIONS et al,
8 Defendant.

9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
10 Court, Northern District of California.

11 That on October 28, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said
13 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
14 located in the Clerk's office.

15 Michael D. Pierce
16 CDCR #P-31729
17 CDCR - Corcoran
18 P.O. Box 5242
19 Corcoran, CA 93212

20 Dated: October 28, 2008

21 Richard W. Wiekling, Clerk
22 By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
23
24
25
26
27
28