

1 [COUNSEL LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10

OAKLAND DIVISION

11 MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC.,

Case No. C 08-4567 CW

12 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATED DISMISSAL WITH
PREJUDICE AS TO UNITED STATES
PATENT NOS. 6,809,938; 6,856,519; 6,900,993
AND 7,120,035**

13 v.

14 O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,

15 Defendant

16 O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,
17 Counterclaimant,

18

19 v.

20 MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC.,
21 ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC., ASUS
22 COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL, BENQ
CORPORATION, AND BENQ AMERICA
CORP.,

23 Counterclaim-Defendants

24

25

26

27

28

Stipulated Dismissal of Claims and Counterclaims
Case No. C 08-4567-CW

1 Plaintiff Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. (“MPS”), Defendant O2 Micro International
2 Limited (“O2 Micro”), and Counterclaim Defendants ASUSTeK Computer Inc. and ASUS
3 Computer International, hereby stipulate to a dismissal with prejudice of their respective claims
4 for relief concerning U.S. Patent Nos. 6,809,938 (“’938 patent”); 6,856,519 (“’519 patent”);
5 6,900,993 (“’993 patent”) and 7,120,035 (“’035 patent”) (collectively, the “’519 Patent Family”)
6 based on the following premises:

- 7 (1) Whereas, on October 1, 2008, MPS filed this action seeking a declaratory
8 judgment that its power inverter controller products do not infringe any claim of
9 the ‘519 Patent Family and that the claims of the ‘519 Patent Family are invalid;
- 10 (2) Whereas, on February 11, 2009, O2 Micro filed an amended Answer that included
11 a counterclaim for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,417,382 (“’382 patent”), as
12 well as infringement of the ‘938, ‘519, and ‘035 patents;
- 13 (3) Whereas, on May 5, 2009, MPS filed a Second Amended Complaint seeking a
14 declaration that (i) its power inverter controller products do not infringe any claim
15 of the ‘519 Patent Family or the ‘382 patent; (ii) that the claims of the ‘519 Patent
16 Family and the ‘382 patent are invalid; and (iii) that the claims of the ‘519 Patent
17 Family and the ‘382 patent are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct;
- 18 (4) Whereas, in the course of discovery, the parties have identified the following as
19 MPS’s CCFL inverter controller products: MP1007, MP1008, MP1009, MP1010,
20 MP1010A, MP1010B, MP1011, MP1011A, MP1012, MP1013, MP1015,
21 MP1016, MP1017, MP1018, MP1018C, MP1021, MP1022, MP1022A, MP1023,
22 MP1024, MP1025, MP1025A, MP1026, MP1027, MP1028, MP1029, MP1030,
23 MP1031, MP1032, MP1033, MP1035, MP1037, MP1038, MP1039, MP1041,
24 MP1046, MP1048, MP1052, MP1060, MP1061, MP1070, MP1080, MP1088,
25 MP1251, MP1872, MP1900, MP10091, VN800, VN801, VN830, and VN831;
- 26 (5) Whereas, by its signature to this stipulation as of the date of its signature, O2
27 Micro covenants not to assert the ‘519 Patent Family against MPS or MPS’s
28

1 Dated: June 30, 2009

HOWREY LLP

2

By: _____
K.T. Cherian

3

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
O2 Micro International, Ltd.

4

5

6

7 Dated: June 30, 2009

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

8

By: _____
Scott R. Mosko

9

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant
Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. and
Counterclaim-Defendants ASUSTeK Computer Inc. and
ASUS Computer International

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, it is SO ORDERED. All claims and counterclaims asserted herein as to U.S. Patent Nos. 6,809,938; 6,856,519; 6,900,993 and 7,120,035 are hereby DISMISSED with prejudice in accordance with the terms of and with respect to products as set forth in the Stipulation of the parties.

The only patent remaining in this action is U.S. Patent No. 7,417,382.

Dated: 7/14/09



THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Filer's Attestation

I, Mark A. Flagel, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this Stipulated Dismissal With Prejudice As To United States Patent Nos. 6,809,938; 6,856,519; 6,900,993 and 7,120,035. Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from K.T. Cherian and Scott R. Mosko.

Dated: June 30, 2009

By: _____
Mark A. Flagel