1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re RICKARD D. ANDERSON,

No. C 08-4978 SBA (pr) No. C 08-4674 SBA (pr) No. C 08-4979 SBA (pr) No. C 08-4841 SBA (pr) No. C 08-4977 SBA (pr)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff Rickard D. Anderson, a state prisoner and frequent litigant in federal court, filed the 12 13 above-referenced "complaints" filled with nonsensical phrases with no clear relationship to each 14 other. Section 1915A requires a federal court to engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which 15 prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer, or employee of a governmental entity. 16 See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The section applies even if the prisoner has not been granted leave to 17 proceed in forma pauperis. See Rowe v. Shake, 196 F.3d 778, 781 (7th Cir. 1999) (joining Second, 18 Fifth, Sixth and Tenth Circuits in holding that § 1915A applies even when prisoner pays full fee at 19 outset). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims, and dismiss any claims which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).

Nowhere does Plaintiff assert identifiable causes of action or make coherent factual

allegations that could give rise to a valid cause of action. In addition, Plaintiff has filed well over

one hundred complaints in this Court since 2003, all of which have been unintelligible. The Court

Plaintiff's complaints who he is seeking relief from or what relief he is seeking. These filings serve

no reasonable litigation purpose, and they impose an unreasonable burden on the Court and its staff.

Furthermore, based on Plaintiff's past history of failing to respond to this Court's orders to amend

finds that Plaintiff's complaints are numerous and frivolous. It is difficult to ascertain from

28

1 unintelligible complaints, the Court finds that granting Plaintiff leave to amend would be futile.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's incomprehensible complaints are DISMISSED as frivolous because they are without an arguable basis in law. <u>See Jackson v. Arizona</u>, 885 F.2d 639, 641 (9th Cir. 1989) (a complaint that is totally incomprehensible is subject to dismissal as frivolous for lacking an arguable basis in law). Any completed applications for leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> are DENIED. All other pending motions are TERMINATED. The Clerk of the Court shall close the files.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/17/08

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSPRONG

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMS RONG United States District Judge

 $P:\PRO-SE\SBA\CR.08\Anderson4674\&4841\&4977\&4978\&4979.dismiss.frm$

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 4 5	RICKARD DENNIS ANDERSON et al, Plaintiff, V.
6	
7	/ et al,
8	/ Defendant.
9	
10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
11	That on November 20, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12 13	opy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said nvelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle ocated in the Clerk's office.
13	
15	
	Rickard Dennis Anderson F-11871
16 17	ule Creek State Prison 001 State Highway 104 ne, CA 95640
18	Dated: November 20, 2008
19	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	P:\PRO-SE\SBA\CR.08\Anderson4674&4841&4977&4978&4979.dismiss.frm 3

United States District Court For the Northern District of California