

Having reviewed the parties' papers, however, the court hereby DENIES the motion
to continue the hearing date. It is counsel's responsibility to remain apprised of all courtimposed deadlines, and given the voluminous law and motion calendar already scheduled
for the months of April and May, the court cannot effectively accommodate plaintiffs' newly
proposed hearing date of April 28.

In order to prevent undue prejudice to defendants, however, the court hereby grants
defendants until April 14, 2010, on which to file their reply brief. The court recognizes that
this presents defendants with a shortened time frame in which to file their reply.
Nonetheless, in view of the limited nature of the legal issues presented by way of

28 defendants' motion and plaintiffs' opposition brief, the court finds that even this shortened

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

time frame is sufficient to allow defendants to adequately prepare their reply. Accordingly, the hearing will take place as scheduled, on April 21, 2010. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 9, 2010 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

United States District Court For the Northern District of California