	. Compucredit Corporation et al	Doc		
	Case4:08-cv-04878-CW Document24	8 Filed05/17/10 Page1 of 4		
1	JAY SMITH (California Bar No. 166105)			
2	(Email: js@gslaw.org)			
2	ADRIAN BARNES (California Bar No. 253131) (Email: abarnes@gslaw.org) LINDA S. FANG (California Bar No. 240245)			
4	(Email: lfang@gslaw.org) GILBERT & SACKMAN, A Law Corporation			
5	3699 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90010 Tel: (323) 938-3000, Fax: (323) 937-9139			
6				
7				
8	W. LLOYD COPELAND (pro hac vice) (Email: lloyd@taylormartino.com)			
9	KASIE M. BRASWELL (pro hac vice) (Email: kasie@taylormartino.com)			
10	TAYLOR MARTINO ZARZAUR, P.C. 51 St. Joseph Street			
11	Mobile, Alabama 36602 Tel: (251) 433-3131, Fax: (251) 405-5080			
12	GREGORY HAWLEY (pro hac vice) (Email: ghawley@waadlaw.com)			
13	U. W. CLEMON (pro hac vice) (Email: uwclemon@waadlaw.com)			
14	CHRISTOPHER J. NICHOLSON (pro hac vice) (Email: cnicholson@waadlaw.com)			
15	REBECCA G. DEPALMA (pro hac vice) (Email: rdepalma@whitearnolddowd.com)			
16	WHITE ARNOLD & DOWD, P.C. 2025 Third Avenue North, Suite 500			
17	Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Tel: (205) 323-1888, Fax: (205) 323-8907			
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Wanda Greenwood, Ladelle Hatfield, and Deborah McCleese			
19				
20	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
21				
22	WANDA GREENWOOD, LADELLE HATFIELD, and DEBORAH MCCLEESE, on behalf of	Case No. 4:08-cv-4878 CW (EDL)		
23	themselves and all others similarly situated,	Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte for Discovery Matters		
24 25	Plaintiffs, v.	STIPULATION SHORTENING TIME FOR DEFENDANT COMPUCREDIT COPPODATION'S MOTION FOR		
25 26	COMPUCREDIT CORPORATION; COLUMBUS BANK AND TRUST, jointly and individually,	CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER		
	Defendants.	RESPONSES FROM COMPUCREDIT TO THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR		
27		PRODUCTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER		

STIPULATION SHORTENING TIME FOR MTN FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MTN TO COMPEL; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case4:08-cv-04878-CW Document248 Filed05/17/10 Page2 of 4

1 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, Plaintiffs and Defendant CompuCredit Corporation ("CompuCredit") 2 (collectively, "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows: 3 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a motion to compel further responses from CompuCredit to their 4 second set of requests for production of documents on May 11, 2010, and scheduled the hearing on this 5 motion for June 15, 2010, at 9:00 a.m., in accordance with Civil L.R. 7-2; 6 WHEREAS, the Parties stipulated to allow Plaintiffs to take an additional 30(b)(6) deposition of 7 CompuCredit and scheduled this deposition for May 21, 2010; 8 WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties have met and conferred about the scope and topics for this 9 deposition and cannot agree on seven of the 23 topics set forth in the notice of deposition; 10 WHEREAS, CompuCredit filed a motion for protective order on May 13, 2010; 11 WHEREAS, the parties desire to resolve this issue prior to the deposition of CompuCredit; 12 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to continue the deposition to the week of June 21, 2010; 13 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred regarding CompuCredit's responses to Plaintiffs' 14 third set of requests for production of documents and cannot agree on certain requests and/or objections; 15 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs plan to file a motion to compel further responses from CompuCredit to 16 their third set of requests for production of documents; 17 WHEREAS, the parties agree that it is in the interest of judicial economy and the preservation of the parties' resources for these matters to be heard by the Court as soon as possible and at the same time; 18 19 WHEREAS, since the inception of the case in October 2008, the parties have either stipulated or 20 moved the Court for time modifications on approximately ten occasions; and 21 WHEREAS, the time modification requested herein will not adversely affect the schedule for the 22 case, or shorten the Court's time to review the papers filed in connection with these motions, and will 23 instead allow the parties to move forward in an expeditious manner. 24 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree and stipulate that: 25 1. CompuCredit's motion for protective order shall be briefed and heard on shortened time, 26 on June 15, 2010, at the same time as Plaintiffs' motion to compel further responses to their second set 27 of requests for production of documents. Any opposition to CompuCredit's motion shall be filed no 28 later than May 27, 2010, and any reply shall be filed no later than June 1, 2010.

1

STIPULATION SHORTENING TIME FOR MTN FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MTN TO COMPEL; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case4:08-cv-04878-CW Document248 Filed05/17/10 Page3 of 4

2. Plaintiffs shall file a mo	otion to compel further responses from CompuCredit to their thi
set of requests for production of documents no later than May 19, 2010, and this motion shall also b	
briefed and heard on shortened time,	on June 15, 2010. Any opposition to Plaintiffs' motion shall
filed no later than May 27, 2010, and a	any reply shall be filed no later than June 1, 2010.
IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
DATED: May 17, 2010	Jay Smith (CA Bar No. 166105) Adrian Barnes (CA Bar No. 253131) Linda S. Fang (CA Bar No. 240245)
	GILBERT & SACKMAN A LAW CORPORATION
	Steven A. Martino (pro hac vice) W. Lloyd Copeland (pro hac vice)
	Kasie M. Braswell (pro hac vice) TAYLOR MARTINO ZARZAUR, P.C.
	Gregory Hawley (pro hac vice)
	U. W. Clemon <i>(pro hac vice)</i> Christopher J. Nicholson <i>(pro hac vice)</i>
	Rebecca G. DePalma (pro hac vice) WHITE ARNOLD & DOWD, P.C.
	By: <u>s/ Linda S. Fang</u> Linda S. Fang
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Wanda Greenwood, Ladelle Hatfield, and Deborah McCleese
DATED: May 17, 2010	Susan L. Germaise (CA Bar No. 176595)
	David L. Hartsell (pro hac vice) MCGUIREWOODS LLP
	By: <u>s/ Susan L. Germaise</u> Susan L. Germaise
	Attorneys for Defendant CompuCredit Corporation
	[P ROPOSED] ORDER
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	
Dated: <u>May 24, 2010</u>	Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte
	United States Magistrate Judge
CASE NO. 4:08-CV-4878 CW (EDL)	2