26

27

28

## 1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 WANDA GREENWOOD, et al., No. C-08-04878 CW (EDL) 9 Plaintiffs, ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO 10 COND SET OF RFPs: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO COMPUCREDIT CORPORATION. 11 THIRD SET OF RFPs; DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER Defendant. 12 13 Three discovery motions came on for hearing on June 15, 2010: (1) Plaintiffs' Motion to 14 Compel Responses to Plaintiffs' Second Set of RFPs; (2) Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Responses to 15 Plaintiffs' Third Set of RFPs; and (3) Defendant's Motion for Protective Order. For the reasons 16 stated during the hearing, the Court hereby Orders as follows: 17 1. Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiffs' Second Set of RFPs is GRANTED, 18 subject to a protective order prohibiting the use of this potentially confidential information outside 19 of this lawsuit. Defendant shall produce responsive documents within one week of the date of this 20 Order. Plaintiff's request for fees and costs incurred in connection with this motion is DENIED. 21 2. Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Further Responses from Compucredit to Plaintiffs' Third Set of 22 RFPs is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. The Court finds that Defendant has 23 waived objections to the RFPs by failing to timely respond to the requests. With respect to the 24 merits of the motion, the RFPs at issue are: 2, 8, 23, 27, 29, 35 and 36 and the Court Orders as 25

follows with respect to these requests:

a. RFP No. 2 (Selection Criteria): Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED. Defendant shall produce responsive documents regarding the criteria (but not necessarily mathematical algorithms) as discussed within 14 days of the date of this Order, subject to a protective order.

United States District Court	For the Northern District of California
------------------------------	---

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

h	DED No	0 (Daile	Dagairrablas	Purchase Price):	Dlaintiffa	Mation is D	CMIED
n.	KEP NO.	. 8 ODany	' Receivables	Piirchase Price):	Plainfiffs	VIOTION 18 L	ENIED

- RFP No. 23 (Class Member Transactions) and RFP No. 29 (Customer Complaints): Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. Defendant is Ordered to produce documents relating to the approximately 35 individuals who made complaints, as well documents relating to 15 additional individuals selected by Plaintiffs in consultation with Defendant. The parties are Ordered to meet and confer immediately to determine the selection of the additional 15. Defendant shall produce documents relating to the complaints by the end of this week, and shall produce documents relating to the transaction histories within 14 days of the date of this Order.
- d. RFP No. 27 (Business Plan Model): Plaintiffs' Motion is DENIED based on Plaintiff's concession that this information is not needed in light of the other documents the Court is Order be produced.
- f. RFP Nos. 35 and 36 (Restitution): Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED. Defendant shall produce responsive documents within 14 days of the date of this Order.

Plaintiffs' request for fees and costs incurred in connection with this motion is DENIED.

Defendant's Motion for Protective Order is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. 3. The Court finds that each of the topics listed would be better suited as an interrogatory and/or request for admission, and Orders Plaintiffs to re-serve this discovery in the form of interrogatories and/or requests for admission to the extent that it does not obtain responsive information at the upcoming deposition(s) later this month. Defendant's request for fees and costs incurred in connection with this motion is DENIED.

The parties are referred to the record of the June 15, 2010 hearing before this Court in which each of these issues was discussed in detail.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 15, 2010

United States Magistrate Judge