UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CYNTHIA SCHNEIDER, et al.	
Plaintiff(s	CASE NO. C 08-04987 CW
v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant	t(s).
Counsel report that they I following stipulation pursuant to	nave met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in	the following ADR process:
	tration (ADR L.R. 4) luation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) L.R. 6)
appreciably more likely to meet t ADR phone conference and may	n early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is heir needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for il Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR (plea	se identify process and provider)
	R session by: eadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested de	adline 120 days from the date of the order
Dated: 01/23/2009	Atterney for Plaintiff
Dated: 01/23/2009	Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other 120 days from order date

TT	IS	SO	ORD	FR	FD
4 4	1	50	O.U		الانك

1/29/09

Dated:

Chidialeit

DISTRICT
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE