1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 BRIAN GILMER, ANTHONY RODGERS, No. C 08-05186 CW DELORIS WILKINS, JERRY WILLIAMS, 10 RAYMOND ROBBINS, ORDER REGARDING DAMAGES PHASE OF 11 Plaintiffs, THIS ACTION 12 v. 13 ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT DISTRICT, 14 Defendant. 15

On November 16, 2011, the parties each submitted statements 17 addressing how to resolve the damages phase of this action. The 18 Court's November 2, 2011 order merely denied Defendant AC Transit 19 20 District's motion to decertify the collective action; it did not 21 establish that 511.org data can be used to award damages as a 22 matter of law. Plaintiffs shall move for summary adjudication of 23 that issue within twenty-eight days, if they contend that it can 24 be decided as a matter of law. By that date Plaintiffs shall move 25 to add additional Plaintiffs as well, if they wish to do so, in 26 Finally, Plaintiffs shall provide authority for 27 the same brief. 28 deciding their individual damages claims without a jury, as they

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

16

	numero to do if o monicil monton in empeinted to make
1	propose to do if a special master is appointed to make
2	recommendations regarding individual damage awards for Plaintiffs.
3	The District's response to Plaintiffs' filing should also address
4	its request for additional discovery.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated: 11/22/2011
8	United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
14	
15	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

United States District Court For the Northern District of California