1	IAN GERSHENGORN		
2	Deputy Assistant Attorney General JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO		
3	United States Attorney VINCENT M. GARVEY		
4	Deputy Branch Director CAROLINE LEWIS WOLVERTON		
5	District of Columbia Bar No. 496433 Senior Counsel		
6	Telephone: (202) 514-0265 E-mail: caroline.lewis-wolverton@usdoj.gov		
7	KIMBERLY L. HERB Illinois Bar No. 6296725		
8	Trial Attorney Telephone: (202) 305-8356		
9	Email: Kimberly.L.Herb@usdoj.gov Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch		
10	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 883 Weshington, D.C. 20044		
11	Washington, D.C. 20044 Facsimile: (202) 616-8470		
12	Attorneys for DEFENDANTS		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	OAKLAND DIVISION		
16	VIETNAM VETERANS OF AMERICA, et al., Case No. CV 09-0037-CW		
17	Plaintiffs,		
18	v.		
19	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al., STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE ANSWER; AND ORDER		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1) and Civil L.R. 6-2, the parties, by and through		
24			
25	undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully move to extend the time for Defendants' to file an		
26	answer until March 17, 2010. The requested enlargement will not have any effect on the present		
27	schedule for the case, and will require Defendants to serve an answer in advance of the Settlement		
28	NO. C 09-37 CW MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO FILE ANSWER		

Conference scheduled for March 19, 2010. In accordance with Civil L.R. 6-2(a), this motion is supported by the Declaration of Kimberly L. Herb, counsel for Defendants, filed herewith and a proposed order below.

- 1. Defendants submit that the Declaration of Kimberly L. Herb establishes good cause for the requested enlargement as follows:
- a. Pursuant to the Court's Order of February 2, 2010, Defendants must file their Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint ("Complaint") on March 12, 2010. (Dkt. No. 64.)
- b. Defendants are in the process of responding to allegations in the Plaintiffs'
 Complaint. Because of the length of the Complaint and the fact that it concerns events that span more than fifty years, Defendants have spent a significant amount of time responding to the factual allegations. This, in turn, has delayed the review of the Defendants' responses within their respective agencies.
- c. Absent an enlargement of time, Defendants will be substantially prejudiced in responding to the Complaint because Defendants have not had the opportunity to complete its necessary review process within the Department of Justice and the Defendant agencies.
 - 2. There have seven previous modifications in this case.
- a. On March 24, 2009, the parties stipulated to a continuance of the case management conference to June 16, 2009, and the deadline for the joint case management statement to June 9, 2009. On March 31, 2009, the Court entered an Order establishing the dates to which the parties had stipulated. (Dkt. No. 15.)
- b. On May 11, 2009, the parties stipulated to enlarge the period for Defendants' response to the Complaint in the form of a dispositive motion by thirty-two days—from May 11, 2009 to June 12, 2009—and a corresponding adjustment of the briefing schedule and hearing

date. On May 12, 2009, the Court entered an Order establishing the briefing deadlines and hearing date to which the parties had stipulated. (Dkt. No. 19.)

- c. On June 4, 2009, Defendants filed a Motion for an Extension of Time to File Response to Complaint until June 30, 2009 on the ground that Ms. Wolverton had assumed primary responsibility for the case sixteen days prior to the deadline for submission of a motion to dismiss. Plaintiffs opposed the extension request. The Court entered an Order granting the requested extension on June 12, 2009. (Dkt. No. 27.)
- d. On September 10, 2009, at the behest of counsel for Plaintiffs, the parties stipulated to an enlargement of the briefing schedule on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and a continuance on the initial case management conference. On September 15, 2009, the Court entered an Order granting the briefing and hearing schedule to which the parties had stipulated. (Dkt. No. 42.)
- e. On October 28, 2009, again at the behest of counsel for the Plaintiffs, the parties stipulated to a continuance of the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and the initial case management conference. On October 29, 2009, the Court entered an Order granting the continuance of the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and the initial case management conference as the parties had stipulated. (Dkt. No. 50.)
- f. On January 27, 2010, Defendants filed a Motion to Enlarge Time to File Answer until April 19, 2010 on the ground that Defendants wanted to review discovery documents that pertained to the factual allegations in Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs opposed the extension request. On February 2, 2010, the Court entered an Order granting in part the Defendants' Motion to Extend Time, extending the time to file the answer until March 12, 2010.

1	g. On February 18, 2010	, at the behest of counsel for Defendants, the parties	
2	stipulated to extend Defendants' time to respond to discovery requests and produce documents.		
3	On February 23, 2010, the Court entered an Order granting the parties' stipulation. (Dkt. No. 66.)		
4	3. The requested enlargement will not have any effect on the present schedule for the		
5	case, and will require Defendants to serve an answer in advance of the Settlement Conference		
7	scheduled for March 19, 2010.		
8	* * *		
9	In order to allow Defendants sufficient time to prepare their Answer, the parties hereby		
10	respectfully request that the Court enlarge the time in which to file the answer to March 17, 2010.		
11	1 7 1		
12	Dated: March 12, 2010	Respectfully submitted,	
13	GORDON P. ERSPAMER	IAN GERSHENGORN	
14	TIMOTHY W. BLAKELY	Deputy Assistant Attorney General	
15	ADRIANO HRVATIN KIMBERLY L. TAYLOR	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
16	STACEY M. SPRENKEL	VINCENT M. GARVEY	
17		Deputy Branch Director CAROLINE LEWIS WOLVERTON	
18	Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street	Senior Counsel	
19	San Francisco, CA 94105	By: <u>/s/ Kimberly L. Herb</u>	
	Telephone: (415) 268-411	KIMBERLY L. HERB	
20	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522 Email: GErspamer@mofo.com	Illinois Bar No. 6296725 Trial Attorney	
21	Email: GErspainer & moro.com	U.S. Department of Justice	
22		Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch	
	By: /s/ Timothy W. Blakely	20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.	
23		Washington, DC 20530 Telephone: (202) 305-8356	
24		Facsimile: (202) 616-8470	
25	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Email: Kimberly.L.Herb@usdoj.gov	
26		Attorneys for Defendants	
27			
28			

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/23/2010

CLAUDIA WILKEN

ardialeit

United States District Judge