Ш

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | RICHARD DENNIS ANDERSON, |
| 11 | Petitioner, No. CIV 08-2692 KJM P |
| 12 | VS. |
| 13 | WARDEN MARTELL, |
| 14 | Respondent. <u>ORDER</u> |
| 15 | / |
| 16 | Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of |
| 17 | habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma |
| 18 | pauperis. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis. |
| 19 | The application attacks a conviction issued by the San Francisco Superior Court. |
| 20 | While both this Court and the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was |
| 21 | convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973), any |
| 22 | and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's application are more |
| 23 | readily available in San Francisco County, which is located in the Northern District of |
| 24 | California. <u>Id.</u> at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). |
| 25 | //// |
| 26 | //// |
| | 1 |
| | |

| 1 | Accordingly, IT IS LIEDEDV ODDEDED that |
|----|--|
| 1 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: |
| 2 | 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis; |
| 3 | and |
| 4 | 2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern |
| 5 | District of California. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). |
| 6 | DATED: January 16, 2009. |
| 7 | U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 8 | 2 |
| 9 | ande2692.108 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | 2 |
| | |