

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDREA RESNICK, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

WALMART.COM, et al.

Defendant(s).

No. C 09-0002 PJH

**ORDER SETTING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

[Reassigned Case]

This Document Also Relates to:

- Michael O'Connor v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0096 PJH
- Sarah Endzweig v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0111 PJH
- Christopher Schmitz v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0116 PJH
- Scott Lynch, et al. v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0138 PJH
- Jonathan Groce, et al. v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0139 PJH
- Liza Sivek v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0156 PJH
- Armond Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0180 PJH
- Suzanne Slobodin v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0225 PJH
- Katherine Anthony, et al. v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0236 PJH
- Melanie Polk-Stamps v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0244 PJH
- Richard Sheeler v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0274 PJH
- Cathleen Chapman v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0294 PJH
- Michael Orozco v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0297 PJH
- Linda Landels, et al. v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0340 PJH
- Sarah Grime v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0349 PJH
- Douglas Meyer v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0361 PJH
- Laura Randall v. Walmart.com, et al. C 09-0368 PJH
- Frank Hirsch v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0375 PJH
- Melanie Miscioscia v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0377 PJH
- James Chatelain v. Netflix, Inc., et al. C 09-0391 PJH

TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The above matters having been reassigned to the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton. It is hereby ordered, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and Civil L. R. 16-10, that a Case Management Conference shall be held on **April 9, 2009**, at 2:30 p.m., in Courtroom 3, 17th Floor, Federal Building, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California.

Counsel shall meet and confer as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) prior to the Case Management Conference with respect to those subjects set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c). **Not less than seven (7) calendar days** before the conference, counsel shall file a joint case management statement addressing each of the items listed in the "Standing Order For All Judges of the Northern District -- Contents of Joint Case Management statement," which is attached to this order and can also be found on the court's website. A proposed order is

1 not necessary. Following the conference, the court will enter its own Case Management
2 and Pretrial Order. If any party is proceeding without counsel, separate statements may be
3 filed by each party.

4 Each party shall appear personally or by counsel prepared to address all of the
5 matters referred to in this Order and with authority to enter stipulations and make
6 admissions pursuant to this Order. Any request to reschedule the date of the conference
7 shall be made in writing, and by stipulation if possible, at least ten (10) calendar days
8 before the date of the conference and must be based upon good cause.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STANDING ORDER FOR ALL JUDGES OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CONTENTS OF JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Commencing March 1, 2007, all judges of the Northern District of California will require the identical information in Joint Case Management Statements filed pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-9. The parties must include the following information in their statement which, except in unusually complex cases, should not exceed ten pages:

1. Jurisdiction and Service: The basis for the court’s subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff’s claims and defendant’s counterclaims, whether any issues exist regarding personal jurisdiction or venue, whether any parties remain to be served, and, if any parties remain to be served, a proposed deadline for service.
2. Facts: A brief chronology of the facts and a statement of the principal factual issues in dispute.
3. Legal Issues: A brief statement, without extended legal argument, of the disputed points of law, including reference to specific statutes and decisions.
4. Motions: All prior and pending motions, their current status, and any anticipated motions.
5. Amendment of Pleadings: The extent to which parties, claims, or defenses are expected to be added or dismissed and a proposed deadline for amending the pleadings.
6. Evidence Preservation: Steps taken to preserve evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in this action, including interdiction of any document-destruction program and any ongoing erasures of e-mails, voice mails, and other electronically-recorded material.
7. Disclosures: Whether there has been full and timely compliance with the initial disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and a description of the disclosures made.

- 1 8. Discovery: Discovery taken to date, if any, the scope of anticipated discovery, any
2 proposed limitations or modifications of the discovery rules, and a proposed discovery plan
3 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).
- 4 9. Class Actions: If a class action, a proposal for how and when the class will be
5 certified.
- 6 10. Related Cases: Any related cases or proceedings pending before another judge of
7 this court, or before another court or administrative body.
- 8 11. Relief: All relief sought through complaint or counterclaim, including the amount of
9 any damages sought and a description of the bases on which damages are calculated. In
10 addition, any party from whom damages are sought must describe the bases on which it
11 contends damages should be calculated if liability is established.
- 12 12. Settlement and ADR: Prospects for settlement, ADR efforts to date, and a specific
13 ADR plan for the case, including compliance with ADR L.R. 3-5 and a description of key
14 discovery or motions necessary to position the parties to negotiate a resolution.
- 15 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes: Whether all parties will consent to
16 have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings including trial and entry of
17 judgment.
- 18 14. Other References: Whether the case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a
19 special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.
- 20 15. Narrowing of Issues: Issues that can be narrowed by agreement or by motion,
21 suggestions to expedite the presentation of evidence at trial (e.g., through summaries or
22 stipulated facts), and any request to bifurcate issues, claims, or defenses.
- 23 16. Expedited Schedule: Whether this is the type of case that can be handled on an
24 expedited basis with streamlined procedures.
- 25 17. Scheduling: Proposed dates for designation of experts, discovery cutoff, hearing of
26 dispositive motions, pretrial conference and trial.
- 27 18. Trial: Whether the case will be tried to a jury or to the court and the expected length
28 of the trial.

1 19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons: Whether each party has filed
2 the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil Local Rule 3-16. **In**
3 **addition**, each party must restate in the case management statement the contents of its
4 certification by identifying any persons, firms, partnerships, corporations (including parent
5 corporations) or other entities known by the party to have either: (i) a financial interest in
6 the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding; or (ii) any other kind of
7 interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding.

8 20. Such other matters as may facilitate the just, speedy and inexpensive disposition of
9 this matter.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: February 2, 2009

12 
13 _____
14 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28