

1 J. RANDALL ANDRADA (SBN 70000)  
 2 [randrada@andradalaw.com](mailto:randrada@andradalaw.com)  
 3 LYNNE G. STOCKER (SBN 130333)  
 4 [lstocker@andradalaw.com](mailto:lstocker@andradalaw.com)  
 5 **ANDRADA & ASSOCIATES**  
 6 **PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**  
 7 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 225  
 8 Oakland, California 94612  
 9 Tel.: (510) 287-4160  
 10 Fax: (510) 287-4161

11 Attorneys for Defendants  
 12 N. GRANNIS and E. TOOTELL, M.D.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 15 OAKLAND DIVISION

16 BERNARD LEE HAMILTON,  
 17  
 18 Plaintiff,  
 19  
 20 v.  
 21 G. THOMSON, et al.,  
 22  
 23 Defendants.

Case No.: 4:09-cv-00648-CW (PR)

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING  
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXTEND  
 DEADLINE TO FILE MOTION FOR  
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR OTHER  
 DISPOSITIVE MOTION**

Hon. Claudia Wilken

24 Good cause appearing, the motion of Defendants of N. Grannis and E. Tootell for an  
 25 extension of the deadline to file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion is  
 26 GRANTED.

27 Defendants N. Grannis and E. Tootell shall file and serve a motion for summary judgment or  
 28 other dispositive motion no later than thirty (30) days from the date that this Order is filed. At the  
 time of filing the motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion, Defendants shall  
 comply with the Ninth Circuit's decisions in *Woods v. Carey*, 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012) and  
*Stratton v. Buck*, 697 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2012) and provide Plaintiff with notice of what is required  
 of him to oppose a summary judgment motion or motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust

ANDRADA & ASSOCIATES  
 PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1 administrative remedies.

2 Plaintiff's opposition thereto shall be filed with the Court and served on Defendants no later  
3 than twenty-eight (28) days of the date the summary judgment or other dispositive motion is filed.  
4 Before filing his opposition, Plaintiff is advised to read the notice that will be provided to him by  
5 Defendants when the motion is filed, and Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and  
6 *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317 (1986), as well as the notice provided in this Court's Order  
7 Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (Docket No. 211).

8 Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than fourteen (14) days after the date that  
9 Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: 7/22/2013

  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28