1 2

3

45

67

8

1011

12

13

1415

16 17

19

18

21

22

20

2324

2526

28

27

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARMANDO ANTONIO MARROQUIN,

Plaintiff,

DR. R. BOWMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 09-00713 SBA (PR)

ORDER GRANTING
IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS AND
DENYING MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Plaintiff's application for <u>in forma pauperis</u> status is GRANTED. The total filing fee due is \$350.00. The initial partial filing fee due for Plaintiff at this time is \$3.59. A copy of this Order and the attached instruction sheet will be sent to Plaintiff, the Prison Trust Account Office and the Court's Financial Office.

Plaintiff also requests the appointment of counsel to represent him in this action. There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. See Lassiter v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981); Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) (no constitutional right to counsel in § 1983 action), withdrawn in part on other grounds on reh'g en banc, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The court may ask counsel to represent an indigent litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in "exceptional circumstances," the determination of which requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See id. at 1525; Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel under § 1915. See id.

The Court is unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a <u>pro bono</u> appointment. The proceedings are at an early stage and it is premature for the Court to determine Plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits. Moreover, Plaintiff has been able to articulate his claims adequately <u>pro se</u> in light of the complexity of the issues involved. <u>See Agyeman v. Corrs. Corp. of Am.</u>, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel at this time is DENIED without prejudice. The Court will review Plaintiff's complaint in a separate written Order.

This Order terminates Docket nos. 2 and 4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 5/1/09

United States District Judge

P:\PRO-SE\SBA\CR.09\Marroquin0713.GrantIFP2DenyAtty.frm

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	ARMANDO ANTONIO MARROQUIN,	Case Number: CV09-00713 SBA	
5	Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
6	v.		
7	MD BOWMAN et al,		
8	Defendant.		
9 10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.		
11	That on May 4, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle		
12			
13	located in the Clerk's office.		
14			
15	Armando Antonio Marroquin T-86698 CCA-FCC		
16	P.O. Box 6900 Florence, AZ 85232		
17 18	ated: May 4, 2009 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk		
19		By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

 $P:\ PRO-SE\ SBA\ CR.09\ Marroquin 0713. Grant IFP3 Deny Atty. frm$

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PAYMENT OF PRISONER'S FILING FEE

The prisoner shown as the plaintiff or petitioner on the attached order has filed a civil action <u>in</u> <u>forma pauperis</u> in this court and owes to the court a filing fee. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the fee is to be paid as follows:

The initial partial filing fee listed on the attached order should be deducted by the prison trust account office from the prisoner's trust account and forwarded to the clerk of the court as the first installment payment on the filing fee. This amount is twenty percent of the greater of (a) the average monthly deposits to the prisoner's account for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint/petition or (b) the average monthly balance in the prisoner's account for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint/petition.

Thereafter, on a monthly basis, 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's trust account should be deducted and forwarded to the court each time the amount in the account exceeds ten dollars (\$10.00). The prison trust account office should continue to do this until the filing fee has been paid in full.

If the prisoner does not have sufficient funds in his/her account to pay the initial partial filing fee, the prison trust account office should forward the available funds, and carry the balance forward each month until the amount is fully paid.

If the prisoner has filed more than one complaint, (s)he is required to pay a filing fee for each case. The trust account office should make the monthly calculations and payments for each case in which it receives an order granting in forma pauperis and these instructions.

The prisoner's name and case number must be noted on each remittance. The initial partial filing fee is due within thirty days of the date of the attached order. Checks should be made payable to Clerk, U.S. District Court and sent to Prisoner Accounts Receivable, U.S. District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36060, San Francisco, CA 94102.

cc: Plaintiff/Petitioner Finance Office