2 3 4	AMES R. ROSE, STATE BAR #109726 CATHARINE HELDT FALACE, STATE BAR LAW OFFICES OF JAMES R. ROSE 500 Railroad Avenue St. Helena, California 94574 Tel: 707-967-9656 Fax: 707-963-0771 Email: roselawinc@sbcglobal.net Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendants	#222744			
7 8		s DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA			
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	GOTT BROTHERS DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a limited liability company, Plaintiff, vs. JEAN NICHOLSON et. al., Defendants. AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIM	Case No. CV 09-0807-PJH STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DISCOVERY CUTOFFS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26 AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton			
21 22 23	Plaintiffs and Cross-Defendants GOTT BROTHERS DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a limited iability company; JOEL GOTT, an individual; and DUNCAN GOTT ("Plaintiffs") and				
25 26	Defendants and Cross-Complainants JEAN NICHOLSON and DEAN NICHOLSON, individually and as Trustees of the DEAN AND JEAN T. NICHOLSON FAMILY TRUST; VIRGINIA TOOGOOD, individually and as the Trustee of the VIRGINIA TOOGOOD FAMILY TRUST COMPRISING VIRGINIA T. TOOGOOD ("Defendants") (collectively referred to as				
28	TIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DISCOVERY CUTOFF PAGE I				

1	he "Parties") respectfully submit this Stipulation to Continue the Discovery Cutoff dates			
2	oursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.			
3	WHEREAS, on August 26, 2011, the Court Ordered the following Pretrial Schedule:			
4	NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF: December 15, 2011			
5	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERTS (retained and non-retained): December 15, 2011			
6	EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF: January 15, 2012			
7	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants have stipulated to continue these dates as follows:			
8	NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF: January 20, 2012			
9	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERTS (retained and non-retained): January 20, 2012			
10	EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF: February 15, 2012			
11	Non-expert discovery pursuant to this Stipulation will be limited to completion of written			
12	discovery already served and/or as agreed between the Parties as follows:			
13	Defendants will respond to the following discovery served on November 16, 2011:			
14	nterrogatory Nos. 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24 and 25;			
15	Request for Production Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22, 25, 27 and 28.			
16	Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants will respond to Defendants' Requests for Admissions			
17	and Request for Production of Documents served at their office on November 15, 2011, without			
18	bjection as to the manner and timeliness of service, and Special Interrogatories served by			
19	December 9, 2011, via email.			
20	The parties will respond to said discovery on or before January 6, 2012. Service by			
21	electronic mail is deemed acceptable.			
22	The Parties stipulate and agree that they will respond to the other party's discovery			
23	equests and produce documents responsive to the other party's request for production of			
24	ocuments subject only to objections for privilege or further written agreement limiting such			
25	iscovery between the Parties. The Parties may interpose additional objections for the purpose of			
26	preserving those objections. The Parties will complete depositions already noticed to take place			
27				
28	TIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DISCOVERY CUTOFF PAGE 2			

•	rior to December 15, 2011, and/or as agreed between the parties at mutually agreed dates, times				
	and locations as follows:				
3	By Defendants and Cross-Complainants: Virginia Toogood, Jean Nicholson, Dean				
4	Nicholson. The Nicholson depositions will be taken as near to their residence in Sanger,				
5	California as is practicable.				
6	By Plaintiffs and Cross-Defendants: Joel Gott, Duncan Gott, Gott Brothers				
7	Development, LLC, Anderson Bartlett (Plaintiff reserves objections to the notice of deposition				
8	or Anderson Bartlett).				
9	The Parties have agreed to said extension to allow for more time to negotiate settlement				
10	erms and further mediation (Mediator: Daralyn Durie) without incurring additional discovery				
	osts;				
12	There has been one prior stipulation to continue the discovery cutoffs in this matter as				
1	the scribed above;				
14	The extension of time will not affect the trial dates currently set in this matter;				
15	NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants respectfully submit this Supulation to				
	the Court and respectfully request that it become the Order of the Court.				
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
18	Dated: December 7, 2011 THE LAW OFFICES OF JAMES R. ROSE				
19	/s/ James R. Rose				
20	75/ James R. Rose				
21	JAMES R. ROSE, Counsel for Plaintiffs and Cros				
22	Defendants				
23	Dated: December 7, 2011 /s/ Patrick McGovern				
24					
25	PATRICK MCGOVERN, Counsel for Defendants				
2627	and Cross-Complainants				
28	TIBUL ATION AND IPROPOSEDLORDER TO CONTINUE DISCOVERY CUTOFF PAGE.				
20	TIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DISCOVERY CUTOFF PAGE.				

$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$	n	\mathbf{r}	7	n
	к	.,	н.	к

	The Parties' Stipulation to continue the Discovery Cutoffs is hereby adopted by this
Court.	The new discovery cutoffs are now as follows:

NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF: January 20, 2012

DISCLOSURE OF EXPERTS (retained and non-retained): January 20, 2012

EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF: February 15, 2012

Non-expert discovery pursuant to this Stipulation will be limited to completion of written discovery already served and/or as agreed between the Parties as follows:

Defendants will respond to the following discovery served on November 16, 2011: nterrogatory Nos. 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24 and 25;

Request for Production Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22, 25, 27 and 28.

Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants will respond to Defendants' Requests for Admissions and Request for Production of Documents served at their office on November 15, 2011, without objection as to the manner and timeliness of service, and Special Interrogatories served by December 9, 2011, via email.

The parties will respond to said discovery on or before January 6, 2012. Service by electronic mail is deemed acceptable.

The Parties stipulate and agree that they will respond to the other party's discovery requests and produce documents responsive to the other party's request for production of documents subject only to objections for privilege or further written agreement limiting such discovery between the Parties. The Parties may interpose additional objections for the purpose of preserving those objections. The Parties will complete depositions already noticed to take place prior to December 15, 2011, and/or as agreed between the parties at mutually agreed dates, times and locations as follows:

By Defendants and Cross-Complainants: Virginia Toogood, Jean Nicholson, Dean Nicholson. The Nicholson depositions will be taken as near to their residence in Sanger,

California as is practicable.

By Plaintiffs and Cross-Defendants: Joel Gott, Duncan Gott, Gott Brothers

Development, LLC, and Anderson Bartlett (Plaintiff reserves objections to the notice of deposition for Anderson Bartlett).

Such is the Order of the Court. The parties are ordered to comply with this Order. Parties are reminded of referral of 11/3/11 to Magistrate Judge Ryu for settlement conference. Attendance is mandatory.

Dated: 12/12/11

