UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WIMAX FORUM,	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 09-1623 SBA
V. YANKEE GROUP RESEARCH, INC., et al.	STIPULATION AND PROCESS ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met a following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	wing ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (All Early Neutral Evaluation (E. Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likely to meet their needs	ttlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: ✓ Private ADR (please identify Provider not yet identified.	y process and provider) Private mediation
The parties agree to hold the ADR session leading the presumptive deadline (The referring the case to an ADR)	by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: July 1, 2009	/s/ Matthew A. Stratton
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: July 1, 2009	/s/ Arman Javid
	Attorney for Defendant

Case4:09-cv-01623-SBA Document11 Filed07/01/09 Page2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

MAKOPOSKB KORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

X Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/7/09

familie B. Ormitag

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE

I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on this document.

/s/ Matthew A. Stratton