

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 2  
 3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4  
 5 SCOTT PINHOLSTER,  
 6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 R. S. WONG, et al.,  
 9 Defendants.

No. C 09-01744 SBA (PR)

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
 MOTION FOR COURT ORDER  
 DIRECTING DISCLOSURE OF  
 DEFENDANTS WONG, DACANAY,  
 GRUNDY AND COLEMANS' CURRENT  
 ADDRESSES TO U.S. MARSHAL AND  
 GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO  
 PROVIDE THOSE ADDRESSES**

10  
 11 Plaintiff filed the present pro se prisoner complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Service has  
 12 been ineffective as to multiple Defendants previously employed by but now retired from San  
 13 Quentin State Prison (SQSP).

14 In an Order dated March 13, 2012, the Court notified Plaintiff that the U.S. Marshal had been  
 15 unable to effectuate service upon former SQSP Warden R. S. Wong; former SQSP Associate  
 16 Warden D. A. Dacanay; former SQSP Facility Captain B. Grundy; and former SQSP Lieutenant D.  
 17 Coleman because those Defendants had retired from State Service. The Court ordered Plaintiff to  
 18 provide the Court with current addresses for Defendants Wong, Dacanay, Grundy and Coleman.  
 19 The Court also informed Plaintiff that the failure to provide current addresses for these Defendants  
 20 could result in the dismissal of all claims against them.

21 Plaintiff has been unable to locate current addresses for these Defendants. Before the Court  
 22 is "Plaintiff's Ex Parte Notice Of Request For Court Order Of The Addresses To Be Disclosed To  
 23 The United States [Marshal]" (docket no. 19). Plaintiff asks the Court to issue an Order requiring  
 24 the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), the SQSP Litigation  
 25 Coordinator, and/or the Attorney General's Office to disclose Defendants' current addresses to the  
 26 United States Marshal.

27 Pursuant to Rule 4(m), if a complaint is not served within 120 days from the filing of the  
 28 complaint, it may be dismissed without prejudice for failure of service. When advised of a problem

1 accomplishing service, a pro se litigant must "attempt to remedy any apparent defects of which [he]  
2 has knowledge." Rochon v. Dawson, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir. 1987). Plaintiff provides no  
3 documents or other evidence showing that he has attempted to obtain the Defendants' current  
4 addresses from the CDCR, the Litigation Coordinator at SQSP or the Attorney General's Office. He  
5 provides no documents or other evidence showing that these agencies maintain or are otherwise able  
6 to access current addresses for these Defendants. Moreover, he provides no legal authority for the  
7 proposition that the Court may order these agencies to provide addresses of individuals who have  
8 retired from State Service to the United States Marshal. For all of these reasons, the Court DENIES  
9 Plaintiff's motion.


10 Plaintiff has, however, shown good cause for an extension of time in which to provide the  
11 above-referenced Defendants' addresses. No later than **thirty (30) days** from the date of this Order,  
12 Plaintiff must provide the Court with current addresses for Defendants Wong, Dacanay, Grundy and  
13 Coleman. Plaintiff should review the federal discovery rules, Rules 26-37 of the Federal Rules of  
14 Civil Procedure, for guidance about how to determine the current addresses of these Defendants.

15 **If Plaintiff fails to provide the Court with the current addresses of Defendants Wong,**  
16 **Dacanay, Grundy and Coleman within the thirty-day deadline, all claims against these**  
17 **Defendants will be dismissed without prejudice under Rule 4(m).**

18 This Order terminates Docket no. 19.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 DATED: 5/4/12

  
SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG  
United States District Judge

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT PINHOLSTER,  
Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV09-01744 SBA

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

v.

R S WONG et al,  
Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on May 7, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Scott Pinholster #C87601  
San Quentin State Prison  
San Quentin, CA 94974

Dated: May 7, 2012

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: Lisa Clark, Deputy Clerk