

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 JONATHON JACKSON,

No. C 09-1785 CW (PR)

5 Plaintiff,

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO
PROVIDE INFORMATION NECESSARY
TO SERVE DEFENDANT V. KELLY

6 v.

7 B. SULLIVAN, et al.,

8 Defendants.
_____ /

9
10 Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed the present pro se prisoner
11 complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court issued an Order of
12 Service and mailed a Notice of Lawsuit, a Request for Waiver of
13 Service of Summons as well as the complaint to each Defendant.

14 On April 20, 2012, the Litigation Coordinator at San Quentin
15 State Prison informed the Court that Defendant V. Kelly has retired
16 and the Litigation Coordinator cannot accept service on his behalf.
17 Docket no. 16.

18 As Plaintiff is proceeding in forma pauperis (IFP), he is
19 responsible for providing the Court with current addresses for all
20 Defendants so that service can be accomplished. See Walker v.
21 Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1422 (9th Cir. 1994).

22 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), if a complaint is not served
23 within 120 days from the filing of the complaint, it may be
24 dismissed without prejudice for failure of service. When advised
25 of a problem accomplishing service, a pro se litigant proceeding
26 IFP must "attempt to remedy any apparent defects of which [he] has
27 knowledge." Rochon v. Dawson, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir. 1987).

28 //

